UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one) ☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION	13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934.	d and ad June 20, 2044
For the quarterly period	a endea June 30, 2014.
☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1934.	13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
For the transition period from	to
Commission f	file number 0-4604
CINCINIATI FINIAN	ICIAL COPPOPATION
(Exact name of registrant	ICIAL CORPORATION as specified in its charter)
(Exact hame of regionalit	as specified in the stratter)
Ohio	31-0746871
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer Identification
incorporation or organization)	No.)
6200 S. Gilmore Road, Fairfield, Ohio	45014-5141
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (513)	870-2000
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject ☑Yes	12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant of to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitt any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted a (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months required to submit and post such files). ☑Yes	nd posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large ac or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large ac company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.	
✓ Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Nonacce (Do not check if a small	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell co ☐Yes	
As of July 24, 2014, there were 163,651,181 shares of cor	mmon stock outstanding.

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I – Financial Information	<u>3</u>
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	<u>3</u>
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>3</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>4</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>5</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity	<u>5</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>6</u>
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	<u>7</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>25</u>
Safe Harbor Statement	<u>25</u>
Corporate Financial Highlights	<u>27</u>
Results of Operations	<u>33</u>
Liquidity and Capital Resources	<u>54</u>
Other Matters	<u>58</u>
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>58</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>67</u>
Part II – Other Information	<u>67</u>
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	<u>67</u>
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>67</u>
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>67</u>
Item 6. Exhibits	68

Part I – Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions except per share data)	J	une 30,	Dece	mber 31,
		2014	2	2013
Assets				
Investments				
Fixed maturities, at fair value (amortized cost: 2014—\$8,719; 2013—\$8,638)	\$	9,383	\$	9,121
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: 2014—\$2,582; 2013—\$2,523)		4,605		4,375
Other invested assets		72		68
Total investments		14,060		13,564
Cash and cash equivalents		462		433
Investment income receivable		116		121
Finance receivable		80		85
Premiums receivable		1,462		1,346
Reinsurance recoverable		526		547
Prepaid reinsurance premiums		26		26
Deferred policy acquisition costs		571		565
Land, building and equipment, net, for company use (accumulated depreciation: 2014—\$431; 2013—\$420)		203		210
Other assets		98		73
		731		692
Separate accounts Total assets	\$	18,335	\$	
Liabilities	φ	10,333	ф	17,662
_				
Insurance reserves	\$	4,444	\$	4,311
Loss and loss expense reserves Life policy and investment contract reserves	Ф	2,454	Э	2,390
• •				
Unearned premiums		2,110		1,976
Other liabilities		563		611
Deferred income tax		810		673
Note payable		49		104
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations		831		835
Separate accounts		731		692
Total liabilities		11,992		11,592
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 12)		_		_
Shareholders' Equity				
Common stock, par value—\$2 per share; (authorized: 2014 and 2013—500 million shares; issued: 2014 and 2013—198 million shares)		397		397
Paid-in capital		1,198		1,191
Retained earnings		4,299		4,268
Accumulated other comprehensive income		1,732		1,504
Treasury stock at cost (2014—34 million and 2013—35 million shares)		(1,283)		(1,290)
Total shareholders' equity		6,343		6,070
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	18,335	Φ.	17,662

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In millions except per share data)	7	hree months	ende	ed June 30,	Six months ended June 30,				
		2014		2013	2014		2013		
Revenues									
Earned premiums	\$	1,059	\$	954	\$ 2,086	\$	1,885		
Investment income, net of expenses		136		131	271		259		
Realized investment gains, net		14		14	36		55		
Fee revenues		3		3	6		4		
Other revenues		2		2	4		4		
Total revenues		1,214		1,104	2,403		2,207		
Benefits and Expenses									
Insurance losses and policyholder benefits		763		631	1,495		1,199		
Underwriting, acquisition and insurance expenses		328		307	648		607		
Interest expense		13		14	27		27		
Other operating expenses		3		4	7		9		
Total benefits and expenses		1,107		956	2,177		1,842		
Income Before Income Taxes		107		148	226		365		
Provision for Income Taxes									
Current		18		37	38		91		
Deferred		5		1	13		10		
Total provision for income taxes		23		38	51		101		
Net Income	\$	84	\$	110	\$ 175	\$	264		
Per Common Share									
Net income—basic	\$	0.51	\$	0.67	\$ 1.07	\$	1.62		
Net income—diluted		0.51		0.66	1.06		1.60		

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In millions)	Thr	ee months	ende	d June 30,	S	ix months er	ended June 30,		
		2014		2013		2014		2013	
Net Income	\$	84	\$	110	\$	175	\$	264	
Other Comprehensive Income									
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments available-for-sale, net of tax of \$82, (\$85), \$123 and \$39, respectively		153		(159)		229		73	
Net change in pension actuarial loss and prior service cost, net of tax of \$0, \$1, \$0 and \$2 respectively		_		2		(1)		3	
Change in life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other, net of tax of \$0, \$8, \$0 and \$8 respectively		1		16		_		16	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		154		(141)		228		92	
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$	238	\$	(31)	\$	403	\$	356	

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In millions)	Common	Stoc	k			Accumulated Other		Total Share	;-
	Outstanding Shares	Ar	nount	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	holder Equit	
Balance December 31, 2012	163	\$	394	\$ 1,134	\$ 4,021	\$ 1,129	\$ (1,225)	\$ 5,4	53
Net income	_		_	_	264		_	2	64
Other comprehensive income, net	_		_	_	_	92	_		92
Dividends declared	_		_	_	(133)	_	_	(1	33)
Treasury stock acquired—share repurchase authorization	_		_	_	_	_	_		_
Other	1		2	28			(7)		23
Balance June 30, 2013	164	\$	396	\$ 1,162	\$ 4,152	\$ 1,221	\$ (1,232)	\$ 5,6	99
Balance December 31, 2013	163	\$	397	\$ 1,191	\$ 4,268	\$ 1,504	\$ (1,290)	\$ 6,0	70
Net income	_		_	_	175	_	_	1	75
Other comprehensive income, net	_		_	_	_	228	_	2	28
Dividends declared	_		_	_	(144)	_	_	(1	44)
Treasury stock acquired—share repurchase authorization	_		_	_	_	_	(7)		(7)
Other	1		_	7		_	14		21
Balance June 30, 2014	164	\$	397	\$ 1,198	\$ 4,299	\$ 1,732	\$ (1,283)	\$ 6,3	43

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)	Six months of	ended	nded June 30,		
	2014		2013		
Cash Flows From Operating Activities					
Net income	\$ 175	\$	264		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	25		20		
Realized gains on investments, net	(36))	(55)		
Stock-based compensation	10		10		
Interest credited to contract holders	23		22		
Deferred income tax expense	13		10		
Changes in:					
Investment income receivable	5		(1)		
Premiums and reinsurance receivable	(95)		(103)		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(19)		(39)		
Other assets	(5))	(10)		
Loss and loss expense reserves	133		54		
Life policy reserves	76		33		
Unearned premiums	134		155		
Other liabilities	(72)		(39)		
Current income tax receivable	(16)	<u> </u>	(70)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	351		251		
Cash Flows From Investing Activities					
Sale of fixed maturities	24		14		
Call or maturity of fixed maturities	481		459		
Sale of equity securities	82		157		
Purchase of fixed maturities	(584)		(666)		
Purchase of equity securities	(104)		(190)		
Investment in finance receivables	(9))	(18)		
Collection of finance receivables	15		14		
Investment in buildings and equipment, net	(5))	(3)		
Change in other invested assets, net	3		3		
Net cash used in investing activities	(97)	<u> </u>	(230)		
Cash Flows From Financing Activities					
Payment of cash dividends to shareholders	(138)		(130)		
Purchase of treasury shares	(7)		_		
Decrease in notes payable	(55))			
Proceeds from stock options exercised	11		12		
Contract holders' funds deposited	45		45		
Contract holders' funds withdrawn	(75))	(55)		
Excess tax benefits on stock-based compensation	2		9		
Other	(8)		(7)		
Net cash used in financing activities	(225)	<u> </u>	(126)		
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	29		(105)		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	433	- —	487		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 462	\$	382		
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:					
Interest paid	\$ 26	\$	27		
Income taxes paid	52		158		
Non-cash activities:	ф —				
Conversion of securities	\$ 7	\$	54		
Equipment acquired under capital lease obligations	7		17		
Cashless exercise of stock options	8		15		

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 — ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Cincinnati Financial Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, each of which is wholly owned. These statements are presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Our actual results could differ from those estimates. Our December 31, 2013, condensed consolidated balance sheet amounts are derived from the audited financial statements but do not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

Our June 30, 2014, condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited. Certain financial information that is included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP is not required for interim reporting and has been condensed or omitted. We believe that we have made all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, that are necessary for fair presentation. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements included in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations for interim periods do not necessarily indicate results to be expected for the full year.

Pending Accounting Updates

ASU 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. Insurance contracts do not fall within the scope of this ASU. The effective date of ASU 2014-09 is for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The ASU has not yet been adopted and will not have a material impact on our company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

ASU 2014-12, Compensation-Stock Compensation: Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period

In June 2014, the FASB Issued ASU 2014-12, Compensation-Stock Compensation: Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. ASU 2014-12 requires that performance targets that affect vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as performance conditions. The effective date of ASU 2014-12 is for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The ASU has not yet been adopted and will not have a material impact on our company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

The following table provides cost or amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value for our invested assets:

(In millions)	(Cost or					
	an	nortized		Gross u	nreal	ized	Fair
At June 30, 2014		cost	ga	gains		losses	value
Fixed maturity securities:							
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	3,205	\$	170	\$	5	\$ 3,370
Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached		7		_		_	7
United States government		7		_		_	7
Government-sponsored enterprises		221		_		13	208
Foreign government		10		_		_	10
Commercial mortgage-backed		220		7		1	226
Corporate		5,049		509		3	5,555
Subtotal		8,719		686		22	9,383
Equity securities:							
Common equities		2,458		1,992		3	4,447
Nonredeemable preferred equities		124		35		1	158
Subtotal		2,582		2,027		4	4,605
Total	\$	11,301	\$	2,713	\$	26	\$ 13,988
At December 31, 2013							
Fixed maturity securities:							
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	3,107	\$	125	\$	21	\$ 3,211
Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached		17		_		_	17
United States government		7		_		_	7
Government-sponsored enterprises		227		_		27	200
Foreign government		10		_		_	10
Commercial mortgage-backed		148		_		5	143
Corporate		5,122		433		22	5,533
Subtotal		8,638		558		75	9,121
Equity securities:							
Common equities		2,396		1,818		1	4,213
Nonredeemable preferred equities		127		38		3	162
Subtotal		2,523		1,856		4	4,375
Total	\$	11,161	\$	2,414	\$	79	\$ 13,496

The net unrealized investment gains in our fixed-maturity portfolio are primarily the result of the continued low interest rate environment that increased the fair value of our fixed-maturity portfolio. The seven largest unrealized investment gains in our common stock portfolio are from Exxon Mobil Corporation (NYSE:XOM), Chevron Corporation (NYSE:CVX), Dover Corporation (NYSE:DOV), The Procter & Gamble Company (NYSE:PG), Honeywell International Incorporated (NYSE:HON), Johnson & Johnson (NYSE:JNJ), and RPM International (NYSE:RPM), which had a combined gross unrealized gain of \$599 million. At June 30, 2014, we had \$7 million fair value of hybrid securities included in fixed maturities that follow Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815-15-25, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, compared with \$18 million fair value of hybrid securities at December 31, 2013. The hybrid securities are carried at fair value, and the changes in fair value are included in realized investment gains and losses.

The table below provides fair values and gross unrealized losses by investment category and by the duration of the securities' continuous unrealized loss positions:

(In millions)	I	ess than	12 m	nonths	12	2 montl	ns or r	nore		Total	To	otal
		Fair	Unr	ealized	F	air	Unre	ealized		fair	unre	alized
At June 30, 2014	,	value	lo	osses	Vä	alue	lo	sses	,	value	ue loss	
Fixed maturity securities:												
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	61	\$	1	\$	267	\$	4	\$	328	\$	5
United States government		_		_		1		_		1		_
Government-sponsored enterprises		10		_		175		13		185		13
Foreign government		_		_		10		_		10		_
Commercial mortgage-backed		_		_		32		1		32		1
Corporate		49		_		127		3		176		3
Subtotal		120		1		612		21		732		22
Equity securities:												
Common equities		39		_		79		3		118		3
Nonredeemable preferred equities		5		_		17		1		22		1
Subtotal	_	44				96		4		140		4
Total	\$	164	\$	1	\$	708	\$	25	\$	872	\$	26
At December 31, 2013												
Fixed maturity securities:												
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	490	\$	18	\$	42	\$	3	\$	532	\$	21
United States government		1		_		_		_		1		_
Government-sponsored enterprises		199		27		1		_		200		27
Foreign government		10		_		_		_		10		_
Commercial mortgage-backed		125		5		_		_		125		5
Corporate		572		20		43		2		615		22
Subtotal		1,397		70		86		5		1,483		75
Equity securities:												
Common equities		77		1		_		_		77		1
Nonredeemable preferred equities		42		3		_		_		42		3
Subtotal		119		4						119		4
Total	\$	1,516	\$	74	\$	86	\$	5	\$	1,602	\$	79

The following table provides investment income, realized investment gains and losses, the change in unrealized investment gains and losses, and other items:

(In millions)	Thre	ee months	ende	d June 30,	Six months ended June 30,				
	2	2014		2013		2014		2013	
Investment income summary:									
Interest on fixed maturities	\$	103	\$	103	\$	207	\$	205	
Dividends on equity securities		34		30		66		57	
Other investment income		1		_		2		1	
Total		138		133		275		263	
Less investment expenses		2		2		4		4	
Total	\$	136	\$	131	\$	271	\$	259	
Realized investment gains and losses summary:									
Fixed maturities:									
Gross realized gains	\$	4	\$	2	\$	6	\$	4	
Gross realized losses		_		_		_		_	
Other-than-temporary impairments		_		_		_		(2)	
Equity securities:									
Gross realized gains		16		12		34		49	
Gross realized losses		_		_		_		_	
Other-than-temporary impairments		_		_		(1)		_	
Securities with embedded derivatives		(3)		_		(4)		1	
Other		(3)		_		1		3	
Total	\$	14	\$	14	\$	36	\$	55	
Change in unrealized gains and losses summary:									
Fixed maturities	\$	93	\$	(282)	\$	181	\$	(307)	
Equity securities		142		38		171		419	
Net change in pension actuarial loss and prior service cost		_		3		(1)		5	
Adjustment to deferred acquisition costs and life policy reserves		(5)		26		(8)		29	
Other		6		(2)		8		(5)	
Income taxes on above		(82)		76		(123)		(49)	
Total	\$	154	\$	(141)	\$	228	\$	92	

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, there were no credit losses on fixed-maturity securities for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) has been recognized in other comprehensive income.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, there was one equity security and no fixed-maturity securities other-than-temporarily impaired. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, there were three equity securities and one fixed-maturity security other-than-temporarily impaired. At June 30, 2014, 247 fixed-maturity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$21 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, no fixed-maturity investments had fair values below 70 percent of amortized cost. Four equity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$4 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more as of June 30, 2014. Of that total, no equity investments were trading below 70 percent of cost.

During 2013, we other-than-temporarily impaired seven fixed-maturity securities. At December 31, 2013, 40 fixed-maturity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$5 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, no fixed-maturity investments had fair values below 70 percent of amortized cost. There were no equity investments in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2013.

NOTE 3 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with accounting guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures, we categorized our financial instruments, based on the priority of the observable and market-based data for the valuation technique used, into a three-level fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices with readily available independent data in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable market inputs (Level 3). When various inputs for measurement fall within different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the lowest observable input that has a significant impact on fair value measurement is used. Our valuation techniques have not changed from those used at December 31, 2013, and ultimately management determines fair value. See our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 3, Fair Value Measurements, Page 121, for information on characteristics and valuation techniques used in determining fair value.

Fair Value Disclosures for Assets

The following tables illustrate the fair value hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013. We do not have any material liabilities carried at fair value. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2.

(In millions) At June 30, 2014	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)		nificant other ervable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)		Total
Fixed maturities, available for sale:						
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	_	\$ 3,370	\$	_	\$ 3,370
Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached		_	7		—	7
United States government		7			_	7
Government-sponsored enterprises		_	208		_	208
Foreign government		_	10		_	10
Commercial mortgage-backed		_	226		_	226
Corporate		_	5,546		9	5,555
Subtotal		7	9,367		9	9,383
Common equities, available for sale		4,447	_		_	4,447
Nonredeemable preferred equities, available for sale		_	156		2	158
Separate accounts taxable fixed maturities		_	719		_	719
Top Hat Savings Plan mutual funds and common equity (included in Other assets)		17	_		_	17
Total	\$	4,471	\$ 10,242	\$	11	\$ 14,724
At December 31, 2013						
Fixed maturities, available for sale:						
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	_	\$ 3,211	\$	—	\$ 3,211
Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached		_	17		_	17
United States government		7	_		_	7
Government-sponsored enterprises		_	200		_	200
Foreign government		_	10		_	10
Commercial mortgage-backed		_	143		_	143
Corporate		_	5,531		2	5,533
Subtotal		7	9,112		2	9,121
Common equities, available for sale		4,213	_		—	4,213
Nonredeemable preferred equities, available for sale		_	160		2	162
Separate accounts taxable fixed-maturities		_	682		_	682
Top Hat Savings Plan mutual funds and common equity (included in Other assets)		14	_		_	14
Total	\$	4,234	\$ 9,954	\$	4	\$ 14,192

Each financial instrument that was deemed to have significant unobservable inputs when determining valuation is identified in the following tables by security type with a summary of changes in fair value as of June 30, 2014. Total Level 3 assets continue to be less than 1 percent of financial assets measured at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Assets presented in the table below were valued based primarily on broker/dealer quotes for which there is a lack of transparency as to inputs used to develop the valuations. The quantitative detail of these unobservable inputs is neither provided nor reasonably available to us.

The following tables provide the change in Level 3 assets for the three months ended June 30:

(In millions)	Asse	t fair valu	ie measur	ement	s using sig	nificant	unobse	rvable inpu	ts (Le	evel 3)
	Corporate fixed maturities		backed fixed		States, municipalities and political subdivisions fixed maturities		Nonredeemable preferred equities		Т	'otal
Beginning balance, March 31, 2014	\$	8	\$	5	\$	_	\$	2	\$	15
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):										
Included in net income		_		_		_		_		_
Included in other comprehensive income		_		_		_		_		_
Purchases		_		_		_		_		_
Sales		_		_		_		_		_
Transfers into Level 3		1		_		_		_		1
Transfers out of Level 3		_		(5)		_		_		(5)
Ending balance, June 30, 2014	\$	9	\$		\$	_	\$	2	\$	11
Beginning balance, March 31, 2013	\$	3			\$	1	\$	2	\$	6
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):										
Included in net income		_		_		_		_		_
Included in other comprehensive income		_		_		_		_		
Purchases		_		_		_		_		_
Sales		_		_		_		_		
Transfers into Level 3		_		_		_		_		_
Transfers out of Level 3		_		_		_		_		_
Ending balance, June 30, 2013	\$	3		_	\$	1	\$	2	\$	6

The following tables provide the change in Level 3 assets for the six months ended June 30:

(In millions)	Asset fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)												
	fi	porate xed urities	mor	mercial tgage- ed fixed urities	munic and p subd	tates, cipalities political ivisions naturities	I	nredeemable preferred equities	7	- Total			
Beginning balance, January 1, 2014	\$	2	\$	_	\$		\$	2	\$	4			
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):													
Included in net income		_		_		_		_		_			
Included in other comprehensive income		_		_		_		_		_			
Purchases		_		_		_		_					
Sales		_		_		_		_		_			
Transfers into Level 3		7		5		_		_		12			
Transfers out of Level 3		_		(5)		_		_		(5)			
Ending balance, June 30, 2014	\$	9	\$		\$		\$	2	\$	11			
Beginning balance, January 1, 2013	\$	3	\$	_	\$	1	\$	1	\$	5			
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):													
Included in net income		_		_		_		_		_			
Included in other comprehensive income		_		_		_		_		_			
Purchases		_		_		_		1		1			
Sales		_		_		_		_		_			
Transfers into Level 3		_		_		_		_		_			
Transfers out of Level 3		_				_		_		_			
Ending balance, June 30, 2013	\$	3	\$		\$	1	\$	2	\$	6			

Additional disclosures for the Level 3 category are not material.

Fair Value Disclosure for Assets and Liabilities Not Carried at Fair Value

The disclosures below are presented to provide timely information about the effects of current market conditions on financial instruments that are not reported at fair value in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

This table summarizes the book value and principal amounts of our long-term debt:

(In millions)				Book	valu	ie		Principal amount					
				June 30,		December 31,		December 31,		June 30,	De	ecember 31,	
Interest rate	Year of issue			2014		2013		2014		2013			
6.900%	1998	Senior debentures, due 2028	\$	28	\$	28	\$	28	\$	28			
6.920%	2005	Senior debentures, due 2028		391		391		391		391			
6.125%	2004	Senior notes, due 2034		371		371		374		374			
		Total	\$	790	\$	790	\$	793	\$	793			
			_										

The following table shows fair values of our note payable and long-term debt subject to fair value disclosure requirements:

(In millions) At June 30, 2014	active m identic	prices in arkets for al assets vel 1)	obser	ificant other vable inputs Level 2)	Significant inobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total
Note payable	\$		\$	49	\$ _	\$ 49
6.900% senior debentures, due 2028		_		34	_	34
6.920% senior debentures, due 2028		_		485	_	485
6.125% senior notes, due 2034		_		432	_	432
Total	\$		\$	1,000	\$ _	\$ 1,000
At December 31, 2013						
Note payable	\$	_	\$	104	\$ _	\$ 104
6.900% senior debentures, due 2028		_		30	_	30
6.920% senior debentures, due 2028		_		458	_	458
6.125% senior notes, due 2034		_		399	_	399
Total	\$		\$	991	\$ 	\$ 991

During the second quarter of 2014, we repaid \$55 million on our revolving short-term line of credit as part of routine cash management.

The following table shows the fair value of our life policy loans, included in other invested assets, subject to fair value disclosure requirements:

(In millions) At June 30, 2014	active n	I prices in narkets for cal assets evel 1)	obser	ficant other vable inputs Level 2)	Significant nobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total		
Life policy loans	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 43	\$	43	
At December 31, 2013								
Life policy loans	\$		\$	_	\$ 45	\$	45	

Outstanding principal and interest for these life policy loans was \$34 million and \$36 million at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The following table shows fair values of our deferred annuities and structured settlements, included in life policy and investment contract reserves, subject to fair value disclosure requirements:

(In millions) At June 30, 2014	Quoted prices active markets identical asset (Level 1)	for	observal	ant other ole inputs vel 2)	ι	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total				
Deferred annuities	\$	_	\$	_	\$	911	\$ 911				
Structured settlements		_		218		_	218				
Total	\$		\$	218	\$	911	\$ 1,129				
At December 31, 2013											
Deferred annuities	\$	_	\$	_	\$	911	\$ 911				
Structured settlements		—		219		_	219				
Total	\$	=	\$	219	\$	911	\$ 1,130				

Recorded reserves for the deferred annuities were \$865 million and \$862 million at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively. Recorded reserves for the structured settlements were \$184 million and \$189 million at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively.

NOTE 4 - PROPERTY CASUALTY LOSS AND LOSS EXPENSES

This table summarizes activity for our consolidated property casualty loss and loss expense reserves:

(In millions)	Three months	ende	d June 30,	Six months en	nded	2013 4,169 356 3,813			
	2014		2013	2014		2013			
Gross loss and loss expense reserves, beginning of period	\$ 4,323	\$	4,173	\$ 4,241	\$	4,169			
Less reinsurance receivable	289		349	299		356			
Net loss and loss expense reserves, beginning of period	4,034		3,824	3,942		3,813			
Net incurred loss and loss expenses related to:									
Current accident year	773		675	1,478		1,209			
Prior accident years	(66)		(92)	(95)		(102)			
Total incurred	707		583	1,383		1,107			
Net paid loss and loss expenses related to:									
Current accident year	309		249	506		370			
Prior accident years	306		272	693		664			
Total paid	615		521	1,199		1,034			
Net loss and loss expense reserves, end of period	4,126		3,886	4,126		3,886			
Plus reinsurance receivable	282		333	282		333			
Gross loss and loss expense reserves, end of period	\$ 4,408	\$	4,219	\$ 4,408	\$	4,219			

We use actuarial methods, models and judgment to estimate, as of a financial statement date, the property casualty loss and loss expense reserves required to pay for and settle all outstanding insured claims, including incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims, as of that date. The actuarial estimate is subject to review and adjustment by an inter-departmental committee that includes actuarial management that is familiar with relevant company and industry business, claims and underwriting trends, as well as general economic and legal trends that could affect future loss and loss expense payments. The amount we will actually have to pay for claims can be highly uncertain. This uncertainty, together with the size of our reserves, makes the loss and loss expense reserves our most significant estimate. The reserve for loss and loss expenses in the condensed consolidated balance sheets also included \$36 million at June 30, 2014, and \$65 million at June 30, 2013, for certain life and health loss and loss expense reserves.

For the three months ended June 30, 2014, we experienced \$66 million of favorable development on prior accident years, including \$57 million of favorable development in commercial lines, \$1 million of favorable development in personal lines and \$8 million favorable development in excess and surplus lines. This included \$5 million from favorable development of catastrophe losses for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with \$8 million of favorable development of catastrophe losses for the three months ended June 30, 2013. We recognized favorable reserve development during the three months ended June 30, 2014 of \$24 million for the commercial casualty line and \$22 million for the commercial property line due to reduced uncertainty of prior accident year loss and loss adjustment expense for these lines.

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, we experienced \$95 million of favorable development on prior accident years, including \$60 million of favorable development in commercial lines, \$18 million of favorable development in personal lines and \$17 million favorable development in excess and surplus lines. This included \$14 million from favorable development of catastrophe losses for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with \$15 million of favorable development of catastrophe losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013. We recognized favorable reserve development during the six months ended June 30, 2014, of \$24 million for the commercial property line and \$30 million for the workers' compensation line due to reduced uncertainty of prior accident year loss and loss adjustment expense for these lines.

NOTE 5 – LIFE POLICY AND INVESTMENT CONTRACT RESERVES

We establish the reserves for traditional life insurance policies based on expected expenses, mortality, morbidity, withdrawal rates, timing of claim presentation and investment yields, including a provision for uncertainty. Once these assumptions are established, they generally are maintained throughout the lives of the contracts. We use both our own experience and industry experience, adjusted for historical trends, in arriving at our assumptions for expected mortality, morbidity and withdrawal rates as well as for expected expenses. We base our assumptions for expected investment income on our own experience adjusted for current economic conditions.

We establish reserves for the company's universal life, deferred annuity and structured settlement policies equal to the cumulative account balances, which include premium deposits plus credited interest less charges and withdrawals. Some of our universal life policies contain no-lapse guarantee provisions. For these policies, we establish a reserve in addition to the account balance, based on expected no-lapse guarantee benefits and expected policy assessments.

This table summarizes our life policy and investment contract reserves:

(In millions)	June 30, 2014	De	ecember 31, 2013
Ordinary/traditional life	\$ 848	\$	815
Universal life	511		508
Deferred annuities	865		862
Structured settlements	184		189
Other	46		16
Total life policy and investment contract reserves	\$ 2,454	\$	2,390

NOTE 6 – DEFERRED ACQUISITION COSTS

Expenses directly related to successfully acquired insurance policies – primarily commissions, premium taxes and underwriting costs – are deferred and amortized over the terms of the policies. We update our acquisition cost assumptions periodically to reflect actual experience, and we evaluate the costs for recoverability. The table below shows the deferred policy acquisition costs and asset reconciliation.

(In millions)	Thre	e months	ende	d June 30,	Six months en	s ended June 30,				
	2	014		2013	2014		2013			
Deferred policy acquisition costs asset, beginning of period	\$	564	\$	491	\$ 565	\$	470			
Capitalized deferred policy acquisition costs		216		203	422		401			
Amortized deferred policy acquisition costs		(202)		(183)	(403)		(362)			
Amortized shadow deferred policy acquisition costs		(7)		35	(13)		37			
Deferred policy acquisition costs asset, end of period	\$	571	\$	546	\$ 571	\$	546			

No premium deficiencies were recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of income, as the sum of the anticipated loss and loss adjustment expenses, policyholder dividends and unamortized deferred acquisition expenses did not exceed the related unearned premiums and anticipated investment income.

NOTE 7 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Accumulated other comprehensive income includes changes in unrealized gains and losses on investments available for sale and other invested assets, changes in pension obligations and changes in life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other as follows:

(In millions)	Three months ended June 30, 2014 2013												
			2	2014					2	2013			
	В	efore tax		come tax		Net	E	Before tax		come tax		Net	
Accumulated unrealized gains, net, on investments available for sale, beginning of period	\$	2,452	\$	849	\$	1,603	\$	2,231	\$	771	\$	1,460	
Other comprehensive income before reclassification		252		87		165		(230)		(81)		(149)	
Reclassification adjustment for realized investment gains, net, included in net income		(17)		(5)		(12)		(14)		(4)		(10)	
Effect on other comprehensive income	Ι	235		82		153		(244)		(85)		(159)	
Accumulated unrealized gains, net, on investments available for sale, end of period	\$	2,687	\$	931	\$	1,756	\$	1,987	\$	686	\$	1,301	
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, for pension obligations, beginning of period	\$	(19)	\$	(6)	\$	(13)	\$	(99)	\$	(34)	\$	(65)	
Other comprehensive income before reclassification	Π					_				_		_	
Reclassification adjustment for amortization of actuarial loss and prior service cost, net, included in net income		_		_		_		3		1		2	
Effect on other comprehensive income						_		3		1		2	
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, for pension obligations, end of period	\$	(19)	\$	(6)	\$	(13)	\$	(96)	\$	(33)	\$	(63)	
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, on life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other, beginning of period	\$	(17)	\$	(5)	\$	(12)	\$	(50)	\$	(17)	\$	(33)	
Other comprehensive income before reclassification	Ι	(2)				(2)		27		10		17	
Reclassification adjustment for life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other, net, included in net income		3		_		3		(3)		(2)		(1)	
Effect on other comprehensive income	Ι	1			_	1		24		8		16	
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, on life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other, end of period	\$	(16)	\$	(5)	\$	(11)	\$	(26)	\$	(9)	\$	(17)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income, beginning of period	\$	2,416	\$	838	\$	1,578	\$	2,082	\$	720	\$	1,362	
Change in unrealized gains, net, on investments available for sale		235		82		153		(244)		(85)		(159)	
Change in pension obligations		_		_		_		3		1		2	
Change in life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other		1		_		1		24		8		16	
Effect on other comprehensive income		236		82		154		(217)		(76)		(141)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income, end of period	\$	2,652	\$	920	\$	1,732	\$	1,865	\$	644	\$	1,221	

(In millions)				Si	ix n	nonths e	ndec	June 30),			
			2	014					2	013		
		efore tax		come tax		Net	E	efore tax		come tax		Net
Accumulated unrealized gains, net, on investments available for sale, beginning of period	\$	2,335	\$	808	\$	1,527	\$	1,875	\$	647	\$	1,228
Other comprehensive income before reclassification		387		135		252		164		56		108
Reclassification adjustment for realized investment gains, net, included in net income		(35)		(12)		(23)		(52)		(17)		(35)
Effect on other comprehensive income	-	352		123		229		112	_	39	_	73
Accumulated unrealized gains, net, on investments available for sale, end of period	\$	2,687	\$	931	\$	1,756	\$	1,987	\$	686	\$	1,301
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, for pension obligations, beginning of period	\$	(18)	\$	(6)	\$	(12)	\$	(101)	\$	(35)	\$	(66)
Other comprehensive income before reclassification				_								
Reclassification adjustment for amortization of actuarial loss and prior service cost, net, included in net income		(1)				(1)		5		2		3
Effect on other comprehensive income		(1)				(1)		5		2		3
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, for pension obligations, end of period	\$	(19)	\$	(6)	\$	(13)	\$	(96)	\$	(33)	\$	(63)
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, on life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other, beginning of period	\$	(16)	\$	(5)	\$	(11)	\$	(50)	\$	(17)	\$	(33)
Other comprehensive income before reclassification	_	1		1		_		27		10		17
Reclassification adjustment for life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other, net, included in net income		(1)		(1)		_		(3)		(2)		(1)
Effect on other comprehensive income						_		24		8		16
Accumulated unrealized losses, net, on life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other, end of period	\$	(16)	\$	(5)	\$	(11)	\$	(26)	\$	(9)	\$	(17)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, beginning of period	\$	2,301	\$	797	\$	1,504	\$	1,724	\$	595	\$	1,129
Change in unrealized gains, net, on investments available for sale		352		123		229		112		39		73
Change in pension obligations		(1)		_		(1)		5		2		3
Change in life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other								24		8		16
Effect on other comprehensive income		351		123		228		141		49		92
Accumulated other comprehensive income, end of period	\$	2,652	\$	920	\$	1,732	\$	1,865	\$	644	\$	1,221

The reclassification adjustment for realized gains on investments available for sale and life deferred acquisition costs, life policy reserves and other is recorded in the total realized investment gains, net, line item of the condensed consolidated statements of income.

NOTE 8 – REINSURANCE

Reinsurance mitigates the risk of highly uncertain exposures and reduces the maximum net loss that can arise from large risks or risks concentrated in areas of exposure. Management's decisions about the appropriate level of risk retention are affected by various factors, including changes in our underwriting practices, capacity to retain risks and reinsurance market conditions.

Primary components of our property casualty reinsurance program include a property per risk treaty, property excess treaty, casualty per occurrence treaty, casualty excess treaty, property catastrophe treaty and catastrophe bonds.

Our condensed consolidated statements of income include earned consolidated property casualty insurance premiums on assumed and ceded business:

(In millions)	7	Three months	ende	d June 30,		Six months ended June 30,				
		2014 2013				2014		2013		
Direct earned premiums	\$	1,048	\$	958	\$	2,067	\$	1,893		
Assumed earned premiums		2		3		5		5		
Ceded earned premiums		(44)		(51)		(87)		(99)		
Net earned premiums	\$	1,006	\$	910	\$	1,985	\$	1,799		

Our condensed consolidated statements of income include incurred consolidated property casualty insurance loss and loss expenses on assumed and ceded business:

(In millions)	Three months ended June 30,					Six months ended June				
		2014		2013		2014		2013		
Direct incurred loss and loss expenses	\$	716	\$	593	\$	1,393	\$	1,128		
Assumed incurred loss and loss expenses		2		5		4		7		
Ceded incurred loss and loss expenses		(11)		(15)		(14)		(28)		
Net incurred loss and loss expenses	\$	707	\$	583	\$	1,383	\$	1,107		

Our life insurance company purchases reinsurance for protection of a portion of the risk that is written. Primary components of our life reinsurance program include individual mortality coverage and aggregate catastrophe and accidental death coverage in excess of certain deductibles.

Our condensed consolidated statements of income include earned life insurance premiums on ceded business:

3	2014	2013
58	\$ 130	\$ 114
(14)	(29)	(2)
44	\$ 101	\$ 80
	(14)	(14) (29)

Our condensed consolidated statements of income include life insurance contract holders' benefits incurred on ceded business:

Thr	ended	Six months en	nded	June 30,			
2	014		2013		2014		2013
\$	68	\$	62	\$	148	\$	126
	(12)		(14)		(36)		(34)
\$	56	\$	48	\$	112	\$	92
		\$ 68 (12)	\$ 68 \$ (12)	\$ 68 \$ 62 (12) (14)	2014 2013 \$ 68 \$ 62 \$ (12) (14)	2014 2013 2014 \$ 68 \$ 62 \$ 148 (12) (14) (36)	2014 2013 2014 \$ 68 \$ 62 \$ 148 \$ (12) (14) (36)

The ceded benefits incurred can vary depending on the type of life insurance policy held and the year the policy was sold.

NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES

As of June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, we had no liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

The differences between the 35 percent statutory income tax rate and our effective income tax rate were as follows:

(In millions)	Thre	e months	ende	ed June	e 30,	Si	x months e	nded	June	30,
	20	14		20	13	2	014		20	13
Tax at statutory rate	\$ 37	35.0%	\$	52	35.0%	\$ 79	35.0%	\$.	128	35.0%
Increase (decrease) resulting from:										
Tax-exempt income from municipal bonds	(8)	(7.5)		(9)	(6.1)	(16)	(7.1)		(16)	(4.4)
Dividend received exclusion	(7)	(6.5)		(6)	(4.2)	(14)	(6.2)		(12)	(3.3)
Other	1	0.5		1	1.0	2	0.9		1	0.4
Provision for income taxes	\$ 23	21.5%	\$	38	25.7%	\$ 51	22.6%	\$	101	27.7%

The change in our effective tax rate was primarily due to changes in pretax income from underwriting results and realized investment gains and losses, compared with immaterial changes in the amount of permanent book-tax differences.

NOTE 10 - NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding using the treasury stock method. The table shows calculations for basic and diluted earnings per share:

(In millions except per share data)	7	Three months	ende	ed June 30,	Six months e	ended June 30,		
		2014		2013	2014		2013	
Numerator:								
Net income—basic and diluted	\$	84	\$	110	\$ 175	\$	264	
Denominator:								
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding		163.5		163.5	163.5		163.3	
Effect of stock-based awards:								
Stock options		1.0		1.2	1.0		1.1	
Nonvested shares		0.6		0.7	0.6		0.7	
Adjusted diluted weighted-average shares		165.1		165.4	165.1		165.2	
Earnings per share:								
Basic	\$	0.51	\$	0.67	\$ 1.07	\$	1.62	
Diluted		0.51		0.66	1.06		1.60	
Number of anti-dilutive share-based awards		0.7		0.3	0.7		0.4	

The sources of dilution of our common shares are certain equity-based awards. See our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 17, Share-Based Associate Compensation Plans, Page 154, for information about equity-based awards. The above table shows the number of anti-dilutive share-based awards for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. We did not include these share-based awards in the computation of net income per common share (diluted) because their exercise would have anti-dilutive effects.

NOTE 11 – EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The following summarizes the components of net periodic costs for our qualified and supplemental pension plans:

(In millions)	Three m	onths e	ende	d June 30,	Six	months er	nded J	June 30,
	2014	ļ		2013	2	2014		2013
Service cost	\$	3	\$	3	\$	5	\$	6
Interest cost		3		3		7		6
Expected return on plan assets		(4)		(4)		(8)		(8)
Amortization of actuarial loss and prior service cost		0		3		1		5
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	2	\$	5	\$	5	\$	9

See our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 13, Employee Retirement Benefits, Page 148, for information on our retirement benefits. We made matching contributions of \$2 million to our 401(k) and Top Hat savings plans during both the second quarter of 2014 and 2013 and contributions of \$6 million and \$5 million for the first six months of 2014 and 2013, respectively.

We contributed \$5 million to our qualified pension plan during the first quarter of 2014. We do not anticipate further contributions to our qualified pension plan during the remainder of 2014.

NOTE 12 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

In the ordinary course of conducting business, the company and its subsidiaries are named as defendants in various legal proceedings. Most of these proceedings are claims litigation involving the company's insurance subsidiaries in which the company is either defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds or litigating first-party coverage claims. The company accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. We believe that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, is immaterial to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The company and its subsidiaries also are occasionally involved in other legal and regulatory proceedings, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, putative class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such proceedings have alleged, for example, breach of an alleged duty to search national data bases to ascertain unreported deaths of insureds under life insurance policies. The company's insurance subsidiaries also are occasionally parties to individual actions in which extra-contractual damages, punitive damages or penalties are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith handling of insurance claims or writing unauthorized coverage or claims alleging discrimination by former associates.

On a quarterly basis, we review these outstanding matters. Under current accounting guidance, we establish accruals when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and we can reasonably estimate its potential exposure. The company accounts for such probable and estimable losses, if any, through the establishment of legal expense reserves. Based on our quarterly review, we believe that our accruals for probable and estimable losses are reasonable and that the amounts accrued do not have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. However, if any one or more of these matters results in a judgment against us or settlement for an amount that is significantly greater than the amount accrued, the resulting liability could have a material effect on the company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows. Based on our most recent review, our estimate for any other matters for which the risk of loss is not probable, but more than remote, is less than \$1 million.

NOTE 13 – SEGMENT INFORMATION

We operate primarily in two industries, property casualty insurance and life insurance. We regularly review our reporting segments to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance:

- Commercial lines property casualty insurance
- · Personal lines property casualty insurance
- Excess and surplus lines property casualty insurance
- Life insurance
- Investments

We report as Other the noninvestment operations of the parent company and its noninsurer subsidiary, CFC Investment Company. See our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 18, Segment Information, Page 156, for a description of revenue, income or loss before income taxes and identifiable assets for each of the five segments.

Revenues: Commercial lines insurance Commercial casualty Commercial property Commercial auto Workers' compensation Specialty packages Management liability and surety		234 180 132 95	\$	2013	\$	2014		2013
Commercial lines insurance Commercial casualty Commercial property Commercial auto Workers' compensation Specialty packages		180 132	\$		\$			
Commercial casualty Commercial property Commercial auto Workers' compensation Specialty packages		180 132	\$		\$			
Commercial property Commercial auto Workers' compensation Specialty packages		180 132	\$		\$			
Commercial auto Workers' compensation Specialty packages		132				458	\$	415
Workers' compensation Specialty packages				152		351		299
Specialty packages		95		117		258		231
)3		87		187		175
Management liability and surety		30		37		66		76
Training ement macritis, and survey		31		30		62		59
Machinery and equipment		12		11		24		21
Commercial lines insurance premiums		714		645		1,406		1,276
Fee revenue		1		1		2		1
Total commercial lines insurance		715		646		1,408		1,277
Personal lines insurance								
Personal auto		117		109		233		216
Homeowner		111		99		220		195
Other personal lines		30		29		59		57
Personal lines insurance premiums		258		237		512		468
Fee revenue		1		1		1		1
Total personal lines insurance		259		238		513		469
Excess and surplus lines insurance		34		28		67		55
Life insurance premiums		53		44		101		86
Separate account investment management fees		1		1		3		2
Total life insurance		54		45		104		88
Investment operations								
Investment income, net of expenses		136		131		271		259
Realized investment gains, net		14		14		36		55
Total investments		150		145		307		314
Other	1	2	Φ.	2	_	4	Φ.	4
Total revenues	5 1,	,214	\$	1,104	\$	2,403	\$	2,207
Income (loss) before income taxes:								
Insurance underwriting results								
Commercial lines insurance	2	28	\$	34	\$	30	\$	92
Personal lines insurance	•	(40)	Þ	(1)	Ф	(47)	Ф	19
Excess and surplus lines insurance		5		1		9		19
Life insurance		(1)		3		(1)		10
Investment operations		130		127		266		275
Other		(15)		(16)		(31)		
Total income before income taxes		107	\$		\$		\$	(32
Total income before income taxes	,	107	Ψ	140	Ψ		Ψ	303
Identifiable assets:						June 30, 2014	D	ecember 31, 2013
Property casualty insurance					\$	2,636	\$	2,455
Life insurance					*	1,263	-	1,225
Investment operations						14,104		13,618
Other						332		364
Total					\$	18,335	\$	17,662

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated results of operations and financial position of Cincinnati Financial Corporation. It should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Unless otherwise noted, the industry data is prepared by A.M. Best Co., a leading insurance industry statistical, analytical and financial strength rating organization. Information from A.M. Best is presented on a statutory basis. When we provide our results on a comparable statutory basis, we label it as such; all other company data is presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

We present per share data on a diluted basis unless otherwise noted, adjusting those amounts for all stock splits and dividends. Dollar amounts are rounded to millions; calculations of percent changes are based on dollar amounts rounded to the nearest million. Certain percentage changes are identified as not meaningful (nm).

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT

This is our "Safe Harbor" statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Our business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements in this report. Some of those risks and uncertainties are discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1A, Risk Factors, Page 31.

Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to:

- Unusually high levels of catastrophe losses due to risk concentrations, changes in weather patterns, environmental events, terrorism incidents or other causes
- Increased frequency and/or severity of claims or development of claims that are unforeseen at the time of policy issuance
- Inadequate estimates or assumptions used for critical accounting estimates
- Declines in overall stock market values negatively affecting the company's equity portfolio and book value
- Domestic and global events resulting in capital market or credit market uncertainty, followed by prolonged periods of economic instability or recession, that lead to:
 - Significant or prolonged decline in the value of a particular security or group of securities and impairment of the asset(s)
 - Significant decline in investment income due to reduced or eliminated dividend payouts from a particular security or group of securities
 - Significant rise in losses from surety and director and officer policies written for financial institutions or other insured entities
- Prolonged low interest rate environment or other factors that limit the company's ability to generate growth
 in investment income or interest rate fluctuations that result in declining values of fixed-maturity
 investments, including declines in accounts in which we hold bank-owned life insurance contract assets
- Recession or other economic conditions resulting in lower demand for insurance products or increased payment delinquencies
- Difficulties with technology or data security breaches, including cyberattacks, that could negatively affect our ability to conduct business and our relationships with agents, policyholders and others
- Disruption of the insurance market caused by technology innovations, such as driverless cars, that could decrease consumer demand for insurance products
- Delays or performance inadequacies from ongoing development and implementation of underwriting and pricing methods, including telematics and other usage-based insurance methods, or technology projects and enhancements expected to increase our pricing accuracy, underwriting profit and competitiveness
- Increased competition that could result in a significant reduction in the company's premium volume
- Changing consumer insurance-buying habits and consolidation of independent insurance agencies that could alter our competitive advantages
- Inability to obtain adequate reinsurance on acceptable terms, amount of reinsurance purchased, financial strength of reinsurers and the potential for nonpayment or delay in payment by reinsurers

- Inability to defer policy acquisition costs for any business segment if pricing and loss trends would lead management to conclude that segment could not achieve sustainable profitability
- Inability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends consistent with current or past levels
- Events or conditions that could weaken or harm the company's relationships with its independent agencies
 and hamper opportunities to add new agencies, resulting in limitations on the company's opportunities for
 growth, such as:
 - Downgrades of the company's financial strength ratings
 - Concerns that doing business with the company is too difficult
 - Perceptions that the company's level of service, particularly claims service, is no longer a distinguishing characteristic in the marketplace
 - Inability or unwillingness to nimbly develop and introduce coverage product updates and innovations that our competitors offer and consumers expect to find in the marketplace
- Actions of insurance departments, state attorneys general or other regulatory agencies, including a change to a federal system of regulation from a state-based system, that:
 - Impose new obligations on us that increase our expenses or change the assumptions underlying our critical accounting estimates
 - Place the insurance industry under greater regulatory scrutiny or result in new statutes, rules and regulations
 - Restrict our ability to exit or reduce writings of unprofitable coverages or lines of business
 - Add assessments for guaranty funds, other insurance related assessments or mandatory reinsurance arrangements; or that impair our ability to recover such assessments through future surcharges or other rate changes
 - Increase our provision for federal income taxes due to changes in tax law
 - Increase our other expenses
 - Limit our ability to set fair, adequate and reasonable rates
 - Place us at a disadvantage in the marketplace
 - Restrict our ability to execute our business model, including the way we compensate agents
- Adverse outcomes from litigation or administrative proceedings
- Events or actions, including unauthorized intentional circumvention of controls, that reduce the company's future ability to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Unforeseen departure of certain executive officers or other key employees due to retirement, health or
 other causes that could interrupt progress toward important strategic goals or diminish the effectiveness of
 certain longstanding relationships with insurance agents and others
- Events, such as an epidemic, natural catastrophe or terrorism, that could hamper our ability to assemble our workforce at our headquarters location

Further, the company's insurance businesses are subject to the effects of changing social, global, economic and regulatory environments. Public and regulatory initiatives have included efforts to adversely influence and restrict premium rates, restrict the ability to cancel policies, impose underwriting standards and expand overall regulation. The company also is subject to public and regulatory initiatives that can affect the market value for its common stock, such as measures affecting corporate financial reporting and governance. The ultimate changes and eventual effects, if any, of these initiatives are uncertain.

CORPORATE FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Net Income and Comprehensive Income Data

(In millions except per share data)	Three n	nont	ns ended	June 30,			Six mo	nth	s ended	June 30,
	2014	2	2013	% Change	,	2	014		2013	% Change
Net income and comprehensive income data:										
Earned premiums	\$ 1,059	\$	954	11	l :	\$	2,086	\$	1,885	11
Investment income, net of expenses (pretax)	136		131	4	1		271		259	5
Realized investment gains and losses, net (pretax)	14		14	()		36		55	(35)
Total revenues	1,214		1,104	10)		2,403		2,207	9
Net income	84		110	(24	1)		175		264	(34)
Comprehensive income (loss)	238		(31)	nn	1		403		356	13
Net income—diluted	\$ 0.51	\$	0.66	(23	3)	\$	1.06	\$	1.60	(34)
Cash dividends declared	0.44		0.4075	8	3		0.88		0.815	8
Adjusted weighted average shares outstanding	165.1		165.4	()		165.1		165.2	0

Revenues rose for the second quarter and the first six months of 2014 compared with the same periods of 2013, primarily due to growth in earned premiums. Premium and investment revenue trends are discussed further in the respective sections of Results of Operations.

Realized investment gains and losses are recognized on the sales of investments or as otherwise required by GAAP. We have substantial discretion in the timing of investment sales, and that timing generally is independent of the insurance underwriting process. GAAP also requires us to recognize in net income the gains or losses from certain changes in fair values of securities even though we continue to hold the securities.

Net income for the second quarter of 2014 compared with the same quarter of 2013 decreased \$26 million, primarily due to a decrease in property casualty underwriting income of \$27 million after taxes. Higher catastrophe losses, mostly weather related, accounted for \$25 million of that decrease. After-tax investment income in our investment segment results for the second quarter of 2014 rose \$4 million compared with the same quarter of 2013. Life insurance segment results on a pretax basis were \$4 million lower.

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, net income decreased \$89 million compared with the same period of 2013, also primarily due to a decrease in property casualty underwriting income of \$78 million after taxes, including \$75 million from higher catastrophe losses. After-tax investment income increased by \$10 million while after-tax net realized investment gains and losses were \$13 million lower. Life insurance segment results on a pretax basis were \$11 million lower.

Performance by segment is discussed below in Results of Operations. As discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Factors Influencing Our Future Performance, Page 48, there are several reasons that our performance during 2014 may be below our long-term targets. In that annual report, as part of Results of Operations, we also discussed the full-year 2014 outlook for each reporting segment.

The board of directors is committed to rewarding shareholders directly through cash dividends and through share repurchase authorizations. Through 2013, the company had increased the indicated annual cash dividend rate for 53 consecutive years, a record we believe was matched by only nine other publicly traded companies. In January 2014, the board of directors increased the second-quarter dividend to 44 cents per share, setting the stage for our 54th consecutive year of increasing cash dividends. During the first six months of 2014, cash dividends declared by the company increased approximately 8 percent compared with the same period of 2013. That increase reflected board actions in both August 2013 and January 2014 that raised the per-share amount of regular dividends. Our board regularly evaluates relevant factors in decisions related to dividends and share repurchases. The 2014 dividend increase reflected our strong earnings performance and signaled management's and the board's positive outlook and confidence in our outstanding capital, liquidity and financial flexibility.

Balance Sheet Data and Performance Measures

(In millions except share data)	1	At June 30, 2014	At I	December 31, 2013
Balance sheet data:				
Invested assets	\$	14,060	\$	13,564
Total assets		18,335		17,662
Short-term debt		49		104
Long-term debt		790		790
Shareholders' equity		6,343		6,070
Book value per share		38.77		37.21
Debt-to-total-capital ratio		11.7%		12.8%

Total assets at June 30, 2014, increased 4 percent compared with year-end 2013, primarily due to growth in invested assets that was largely driven by higher valuations. Shareholders' equity rose 4 percent, and book value per share also rose 4 percent during the first six months of 2014. Our debt-to-total-capital ratio (capital is the sum of debt plus shareholders' equity) decreased compared with year-end 2013. The value creation ratio, a non-GAAP measure defined below, was slightly higher for the first six months of 2014 compared with 2013, due to more benefit from the rise in unrealized investment gains for our investment portfolio. The \$1.56 increase in book value per share during the first six months of 2014 contributed 4.2 percentage points to the value creation ratio, while dividends declared at \$0.88 per share contributed 2.4 points. Value creation ratio trends in total and by major components, along with a reconciliation of the non-GAAP measure to comparable GAAP measures, are shown in the tables below.

	Three months er	nded June 30,	Six months en	ded June 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Value creation ratio major components:				
Net income before realized gains	1.2%	1.8 %	2.5%	4.2%
Change in realized and unrealized gains, fixed-maturity securities	1.0	(3.2)	2.0	(3.6)
Change in realized and unrealized gains, equity securities	1.6	0.6	2.2	5.6
Other	0.1	0.4	(0.1)	0.2
Value creation ratio	3.9%	(0.4)%	6.6%	6.4%

(Dollars are per outstanding share)	Three months	ende	d June 30,	Six months en	nde	d June 30,
	2014		2013	2014		2013
Book value change per share:						
End of period book value	\$ 38.77	\$	34.83	\$ 38.77	\$	34.83
Less beginning of period book value	37.73		35.41	37.21		33.48
Change in book value	\$ 1.04	\$	(0.58)	\$ 1.56	\$	1.35
Change in book value:						
Net income before realized gains	\$ 0.46	\$	0.62	\$ 0.93	\$	1.40
Change in realized and unrealized gains, fixed-maturity securities	0.38		(1.12)	0.73		(1.21)
Change in realized and unrealized gains, equity securities	0.62		0.20	0.81		1.86
Dividend declared to shareholders	(0.44)		(0.41)	(0.88)		(0.82)
Other	0.02		0.13	(0.03)		0.12
Change in book value	\$ 1.04	\$	(0.58)	\$ 1.56	\$	1.35

(Dollars are per outstanding share)	,	Three months	ende	d June 30,	Six months en	nded .	June 30,
		2014		2013	2014		2013
Value creation ratio:							
End of period book value	\$	38.77	\$	34.83	\$ 38.77	\$	34.83
Less beginning of period book value		37.73		35.41	37.21		33.48
Change in book value		1.04		(0.58)	1.56		1.35
Dividend declared to shareholders		0.44		0.4075	0.88		0.815
Total contribution to value creation ratio	\$	1.48	\$	(0.1725)	\$ 2.44	\$	2.165
Contribution to value creation ratio from change in book value*		2.7%		(1.6)%	4.2%		4.0%
Contribution to value creation ratio from dividends declared to shareholders**		1.2		1.2	2.4		2.4
Value creation ratio		3.9%		(0.4)%	6.6%		6.4%
*Change in book value divided by the beginning of period by	ook va	lue					
**Dividend declared to shareholders divided by beginning	of perio	od book value					

PROGRESS TOWARD LONG-TERM VALUE CREATION

Operating through The Cincinnati Insurance Company, Cincinnati Financial Corporation is one of the 25 largest property casualty insurers in the nation, based on 2013 net written premiums for approximately 2,000 U.S. stock and mutual insurer groups. We market our insurance products through a select group of independent insurance agencies in 39 states as discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Our Business and Our Strategy, Page 5.

We maintain a long-term perspective that guides us in addressing immediate challenges or opportunities while focusing on the major decisions that best position our company for success through all market cycles. We believe that this forward-looking view has consistently benefited our policyholders, agents, shareholders and associates.

To measure our long-term progress in creating shareholder value, we have defined a value creation metric that we believe captures the contribution of our insurance operations, the success of our investment strategy and the importance we place on paying cash dividends to shareholders. This measure, our value creation ratio or VCR, is made up of two primary components: (1) our rate of growth in book value per share plus (2) the ratio of dividends declared per share to beginning book value per share. As discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Executive Summary, Page 43, for the period 2013 through 2017, an annual value creation ratio averaging 10 percent to 13 percent is our primary performance target. Management believes this non-GAAP measure is a meaningful indicator of our long-term progress in creating shareholder value and is a useful supplement to GAAP information.

Performance Drivers

When looking at our long-term objectives, we see three performance drivers:

- Premium growth We believe our agency relationships and initiatives can lead to a property casualty written premium growth rate over any five-year period that exceeds the industry average. For the first six months of 2014, our total property casualty net written premiums' year-over-year growth was 8 percent, comparing favorably with A.M. Best's February 2014 projection of approximately 4 percent full-year growth for the industry. The industry's growth rate excludes its mortgage and financial guaranty lines of business. Our premium growth initiatives are discussed below in Highlights of Our Strategies and Supporting Initiatives.
- Combined ratio We believe our underwriting philosophy and initiatives can generate a GAAP combined ratio over any five-year period that is consistently within the range of 95 percent to 100 percent. For the first six months of 2014, our GAAP combined ratio was 100.6 percent and our statutory combined ratio was 98.9 percent, both including 10.9 percentage points of current accident

year catastrophe losses partially offset by 4.8 percentage points of favorable loss reserve development on prior accident years. As of February 2014, A.M. Best forecasted the industry's full-year 2014 statutory combined ratio at approximately 99 percent, including approximately 5 percentage points of catastrophe losses and a favorable impact of approximately 5 percentage points from prior accident year reserve releases. The industry's ratio again excludes its mortgage and financial guaranty lines of business.

Investment contribution – We believe our investment philosophy and initiatives can drive investment
income growth and lead to a total return on our equity investment portfolio over a five-year period that
exceeds the five-year return of the Standard & Poor's 500 Index. For the six months of 2014, pretax
investment income was \$271 million, up 5 percent compared with the same period in 2013. We believe
our investment portfolio mix provides an appropriate balance of income stability and growth with capital
appreciation potential.

Highlights of Our Strategy and Supporting Initiatives

Management has worked to identify a strategy that can lead to long-term success, with concurrence by the board of directors. Our strategy is intended to position us to compete successfully in the markets we have targeted while appropriately managing risk. Further description of our long-term, proven strategy can be found in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Our Business and Our Strategy, Page 5. We believe successful implementation of initiatives that support our strategy, summarized below, will help us better serve our agent customers and reduce variability in our financial results while we also grow earnings and book value over the long term, successfully navigating challenging economic, market or industry pricing cycles.

- Improve insurance profitability Implementation of these initiatives is intended to enhance underwriting
 expertise and knowledge, thereby increasing our ability to manage our business and gain efficiencies.
 Better profit margins can arise from additional information and more focused action on underperforming
 product lines, as well as pricing capabilities we are expanding through the use of technology and
 analytics. Improved internal processes with additional performance metrics can help us be more
 efficient and effective. These initiatives also support the ability of the independent agencies that
 represent us to grow profitably by allowing them to serve clients faster and to more efficiently manage
 agency expenses.
- Drive premium growth Implementation of these initiatives is intended to further penetrate each market
 we serve through our independent agencies. Strategies aimed at specific market opportunities, along
 with service enhancements, can help our agencies grow and increase our share of their business.
 Diversified growth also may reduce variability of losses from weather-related catastrophes.

Below we discuss key initiatives supporting these strategies, along with an assessment of our progress.

Improve Insurance Profitability

The main initiatives to improve our insurance profitability include:

Enhance underwriting expertise and knowledge – We continue efforts to increase our use of
information and to develop our skills for improved underwriting performance, such as expanding
our pricing capabilities by using predictive analytics. Expanded capabilities include streamlining
and optimizing data to improve accuracy, timeliness and ease of use. We also continue to
develop additional business data and tools to support more accurate underwriting, including more
granular pricing, by further developing our data warehouse used in our property casualty and life
insurance operations.

Ongoing efforts to expand our pricing precision include enhancement of analytics and predictive modeling tools to better align individual insurance policy pricing to risk attributes. Further integration of such tools with policy administration systems is intended to better target profitability and support discussion of pricing impacts with agency personnel as we seek to remain competitive on the most desirable business while we rapidly adapt to changes in market conditions.

Rate increases that apply pricing precision features for our personal auto line of business continue to be implemented, and were effective beginning second-quarter 2014 for the majority of states where we market personal lines products. On average, the rate increase was in a low-single-digit range, with approximately half of those states experiencing a mid-single-digit increase.

For commercial autos we insure, pricing precision is an ongoing focus through actions such as premium rate classification improvements, including adding rating variables to our pricing model and further automating collection of key rating variables. We are also making progress with predictive modeling for dwelling fire policies and development of a by-peril rating plan for homeowner policies. We plan to introduce both in select states during 2014. By-peril rating will further improve pricing precision by separately pricing for the risk of losses from distinct perils, such as wind versus fire.

Work continues on initiatives to more profitably underwrite property coverages, including more staff specialization, increased insured property inspections to prevent or reduce losses and provide enhanced underwriting knowledge, and greater use of deductibles or other policy terms and conditions as policies renew. During the warmer-weather months of 2014, we plan to complete inspections for approximately 130,000 properties, including both homes and businesses. During the first six months of 2014, we completed approximately one-third of those inspections. We are also taking other actions, such as increasing our use of higher minimum loss deductible amounts for homeowner policies and per-building deductibles for commercial risks, along with more use of wind and hail deductibles in areas subject to severe convective storm activity.

• Improve internal processes – Improved processes support our strategic goals, reducing internal costs and allowing us to focus more resources on providing agency services. Important improvements include continuing to streamline processing between company and agency management systems for more policies. This streamlining allows for renewal processing of qualified personal lines or small commercial lines business without intervention by an underwriter or for routing of complex work items to the most appropriate associate for optimal service. Progress during the first six months of 2014 included deploying this streamlined process for renewals of commercial umbrella, inland marine, crime and professional coverages. Beginning in April 2014, it was deployed for renewing personal lines policies. Audits of policies processed without an underwriter continue to indicate that the streamlined process is underwriting and issuing policies as intended.

In 2014, we are also enhancing policy processing by migrating additional types of coverages to our e-CLAS®CPP commercial lines policy administration system. During the first six months, we began e-CLAS processing for workers' compensation policies in six more states, for a total of eight states representing approximately half of our workers' compensation premium volume. We also migrated our social services and manufacturing target market programs to e-CLAS, making them available in 14 states at June 30, 2014. Work also continues to improve internal processes by enhancing our policy billing or payment options and our workflow tools.

We measure the overall success of our strategy to improve property casualty insurance profitability primarily through our GAAP combined ratio, which we believe can be consistently within the range of 95 percent to 100 percent for any five-year period. We also compare our statutory combined ratio to the industry average to gauge our progress, as discussed in the Performance Drivers section above.

In addition, we expect these initiatives to contribute to our rank as the No. 1 or No. 2 carrier based on premium volume in agencies that have represented us for at least five years. In 2013, we again earned that rank in nearly 75 percent of the agencies that have represented Cincinnati Insurance for more than five years, based on 2013 premiums. We are working to increase the percentage of agencies where we achieve that rank.

Drive Premium Growth

Primary initiatives to drive premium growth include:

- Expansion of our marketing and service capabilities We continue to enhance our generalist approach to allow our appointed agencies to better compete in the marketplace by providing services an agent's clients want and need. Expansion initiatives include ongoing development of targeted marketing programs, adding field marketing representatives for additional agency support in selected areas and piloting additional services to select agencies to develop our new customer care center for small commercial business policies. Progress during the first six months of 2014 included entering the state of Connecticut for personal lines and expanding our excess and surplus lines field underwriting presence by adding another field marketing representative. In addition, we added two commercial lines field marketing representatives to better support agencies in recently subdivided marketing territories. We also continued efforts to develop new target market programs and to expand our pilot of a customer care center for small commercial business policies to additional agencies.
- New agency appointments We continue to appoint new agencies to develop additional points of distribution, focusing on areas where our market share is less than 1 percent while also considering economic and catastrophe risk factors. For 2014, we initially targeted approximately 100 appointments of independent agencies. During the first six months of 2014, we appointed 50 new agencies that write, in aggregate, approximately \$1.4 billion in property casualty premiums annually with various insurance carriers for an average of approximately \$28 million per agency. As of June 30, 2014, a total of 1,467 agency relationships market our property casualty insurance products from 1,854 reporting locations. During the first six months of 2014, our life insurance company also appointed 51 independent life agencies that do not represent us for property casualty insurance.

We seek to build a close, long-term relationship with each agency we appoint. We carefully evaluate the marketing reach of each new appointment to ensure the territory can support both current and new agencies. Our 132 commercial lines field marketing territories are staffed by marketing representatives averaging approximately 20 years of industry experience and 10 years as a Cincinnati Insurance field marketing representative. Teams of field associates for each territory work together, providing local expertise with support from headquarters associates. This agent-centered business model helps us better understand the accounts we underwrite and creates marketing advantages for our agents. Unique Cincinnati-style service supports our agents as they grow their business and attract more clients in their communities. As a result, we generally have earned a 10 percent share of a property casualty agency's business within 10 years of its appointment.

We measure the overall success of our strategy to drive premium growth primarily through changes in net written premiums, as discussed in the Performance Drivers section above. In addition to tracking our progress toward our year-end 2015 annual direct written premiums target of \$5 billion, we believe we can grow faster than the industry average over any five-year period.

Financial Strength

An important part of our long-term strategy is financial strength, which is described in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Our Business and Our Strategy, Financial Strength, Page 7. One aspect of our financial strength is prudent use of reinsurance to help manage financial performance variability due to catastrophe loss experience. A description of how we use reinsurance is included in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Liquidity and Capital Resources, 2014 Reinsurance Programs, Page 107. Another aspect is our investment portfolios, which remain well-diversified as discussed in this quarterly report Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk. We continue to maintain strong parent-company liquidity and financial strength that increase our flexibility to maintain our cash dividend through all periods and to continue to invest in and expand our insurance operations.

At June 30, 2014, we held \$1.654 billion of our cash and invested assets at the parent-company level, of which \$1.489 billion, or 90.0 percent, was invested in common stocks, and \$52 million, or 3.1 percent, was cash or cash equivalents. Our debt-to-total-capital ratio at 11.7 percent remains well below our target limit. Another important indicator of financial strength is our ratio of property casualty net written premiums to statutory surplus, which was 0.9-to-1 for the 12 months ended June 30, 2014, unchanged from year-end 2013.

Our financial strength ratings assigned by independent ratings firms also are important. In addition to rating our parent company's senior debt, four firms award insurer financial strength ratings to one or more of our insurance subsidiary companies based on their quantitative and qualitative analyses. These ratings primarily assess an insurer's ability to meet financial obligations to policyholders and do not necessarily address all of the matters that may be important to investors. Ratings may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agency, and each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

All of our insurance subsidiaries continue to be highly rated. As of July 28, 2014, our insurer financial strength ratings were:

Insurer Financial Strength Ratings												
Rating Agency	Standard Market Property Casualty Insurance Subsidiaries			Life Insurance Subsidiary				xcess and Su Lines Insura Subsidiar	nce	Date of Most Recent Affirmation or Action		
			Rating Tier			Rating Tier			Rating Tier			
A.M. Best Co.	A+	Superior	2 of 16	A	Excellent	3 of 16	A	Excellent	3 of 16	Stable outlook (12/19/13)		
Fitch Ratings	A+	Strong	5 of 21	A+	Strong	5 of 21	-	-	-	Stable outlook (06/10/14)		
Moody's Investors Service	A1	Good	5 of 21	-	-	-	-	-	-	Stable outlook (04/30/13)		
Standard & Poor's Ratings Services	A	Strong	6 of 21	A	Strong	6 of 21	1	-	-	Positive outlook (06/18/14)		

On June 10, 2014, Fitch Ratings affirmed our ratings that it had assigned in August 2009, continuing its stable outlook. Fitch said our ratings strengths include very strong capitalization, our holding company's sizeable position in cash and marketable securities and our moderate financial leverage ratio. Fitch noted our reserve adequacy and benefits from our implementation of claims and risk management tools in addition to pricing actions. Fitch said its rating could be unfavorably affected by a combined ratio exceeding 105 percent on a sustained basis, evidence of deteriorating profitability on recent growth or by material and sustained deterioration in capitalization.

On June 18, 2014, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services affirmed our ratings that it had assigned in July 2010, revising its outlook to positive from stable. S&P said its rating reflected our strong competitive position, favorable geographical footprint and extremely strong capital. With the positive outlook, it acknowledged our general underwriting improvement in recent years and our track record of mitigating potential capital and earnings volatility. S&P noted its rating could come under pressure if our overall operating performance or capital adequacy deteriorated significantly or upon perceived adverse changes to our competitive position.

Please see each rating agency's website for complete discussion and reports on these ratings.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Consolidated results reflect the operating results of each of our five segments along with the parent company and other activities reported as "Other." The five segments are:

- Commercial lines property casualty insurance
- Personal lines property casualty insurance
- Excess and surplus lines property casualty insurance
- Life insurance
- Investments

We report as Other the noninvestment operations of the parent company and its noninsurer subsidiary, CFC Investment Company. See Item 1, Note 13, Segment Information, for discussion of the calculations of segment data. Results of operations for each of the five segments are discussed below.

CONSOLIDATED PROPERTY CASUALTY INSURANCE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Consolidated property casualty insurance results include premiums and expenses for our standard market insurance (commercial lines and personal lines segments) as well as our excess and surplus lines operations.

(In millions)	Three m	nonths ended	l June 30,	Six months ended June 30,				
	2014	2013	% Change	2014	2013	% Change		
Earned premiums	\$ 1,006	\$ 910	11	\$ 1,985	\$ 1,799	10		
Fee revenues	2	2	0	3	2	50		
Total revenues	1,008	912	11	1,988	1,801	10		
Loss and loss expenses from:								
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	653	591	10	1,262	1,107	14		
Current accident year catastrophe losses	120	84	43	216	102	112		
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(61)	(84)	27	(81)	(87)	7		
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	(5)	(8)	38	(14)	(15)	7		
Loss and loss expenses	707	583	21	1,383	1,107	25		
Underwriting expenses	308	295	4	613	582	5		
Underwriting (loss) profit	\$ (7)	\$ 34	nm	\$ (8)	\$ 112	nm		
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:			Pt. Change			Pt. Change		
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	64.8%	64.9%	(0.1)	63.6%	61.5%	2.1		
Current accident year catastrophe losses	11.9	9.2	2.7	10.9	5.6	5.3		
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(6.0)	(9.2)	3.2	(4.1)	(4.8)	0.7		
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	(0.5)	(0.9)	0.4	(0.7)	(0.8)	0.1		
Loss and loss expenses	70.2	64.0	6.2	69.7	61.5	8.2		
Underwriting expenses	30.7	32.4	(1.7)	30.9	32.4	(1.5)		
Combined ratio	100.9%	96.4%	4.5	100.6%	93.9%	6.7		
Combined ratio	100.9%	96.4%	4.5	100.6%	93.9%	6.7		
Contribution from catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	5.4	(0.9)	6.3	6.1	0.0	6.1		
Combined ratio before catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	95.5%	97.3%	(1.8)	94.5%	93.9%	0.6		

Our consolidated property casualty insurance operations generated an underwriting loss of \$7 million and \$8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with an underwriting profit of \$34 million and \$112 million for three and six months ended June 30, 2013. The year-over-year change of \$41 million for the three-month period and \$120 million for the six-month period largely reflected increases in losses from weather-related natural catastrophes of \$39 million and \$115 million for the respective periods. Weather-related losses not identified as part of designated catastrophe events for the property casualty industry, typically referred to as noncatastrophe weather losses, also contributed to the 2014 underwriting loss. These losses in the second quarter and first six months of 2014 totaled \$8 million and \$45 million more than the same periods of 2013. The unfavorable effects of higher 2014 weather-related losses in aggregate offset the first-half 2014 benefits of higher pricing and our ongoing initiatives to improve pricing precision and loss experience related to claims and loss control practices.

We measure and analyze property casualty underwriting results primarily by the combined ratio and its component ratios. The GAAP-basis combined ratio is the percentage of incurred losses plus all expenses per each earned premium dollar – the lower the ratio, the better the performance. An underwriting profit results when the combined ratio is below 100 percent. A combined ratio above 100 percent indicates that an insurance company's losses and expenses exceeded premiums.

Our consolidated property casualty combined ratio for the second quarter of 2014 was 4.5 percentage points higher, and for the first six months of 2014 it was 6.7 points higher, both compared with the same periods of 2013.

Catastrophe losses and loss expenses were 3.1 and 5.4 percentage points higher, accounting for much of the increase. Noncatastrophe weather-related losses were 0.4 and 2.0 points higher, further contributing to the higher 2014 combined ratios.

The combined ratio can be affected significantly by natural catastrophe losses and other large losses as discussed in detail below. The combined ratio can also be affected by updated estimates of loss and loss expense reserves established for claims that occurred in prior periods, referred to as prior accident years. Net favorable development on prior accident year reserves, including reserves for catastrophe losses, lowered the combined ratio by 4.8 percentage points in the first six months of 2014, compared with 5.6 percentage points in the same period of 2013. Net favorable development is discussed in further detail in results of operations by property casualty insurance segment.

The ratio for current accident year loss and loss expenses before catastrophe losses also rose in the first six months of 2014. The 63.6 percent ratio for the first six months of 2014 increased 2.1 percentage points compared with the 61.5 percent accident year 2013 ratio measured as of June 30, 2013. The effects of higher 2014 noncatastrophe weather-related losses and large losses of \$1 million or more per claim, discussed below, offset the effects of overall higher pricing, net of normal loss cost inflation.

The underwriting expense ratio decreased for the second quarter and first six months of 2014, compared with the same periods of 2013, primarily due to higher earned premiums and ongoing expense management efforts.

Consolidated Property Casualty Insurance Premiums

(In millions)		Three months ended June 30,							Six months ended June 30,					
		2014		2013	% Change	2014		2013		% Change				
Agency renewal written premiums	\$	974	\$	879	11	\$	1,930	\$	1,724	12				
Agency new business written premiums		133		139	(4)		256		274	(7)				
Other written premiums		(25)		(34)	26		(67)		(44)	(52)				
Net written premiums		1,082		984	10		2,119		1,954	8				
Unearned premium change		(76)		(74)	(3)		(134)		(155)	14				
Earned premiums	\$	1,006	\$	910	11	\$	1,985	\$	1,799	10				

The trends in net written premiums and earned premiums summarized in the table above largely reflect the effects of our premium growth strategies and better pricing.

Consolidated property casualty net written premiums for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, grew \$98 million and \$165 million compared with the same periods of 2013. Each of our property casualty segments continued to grow during the second quarter and first six months of 2014. Our premium growth initiatives from prior years provided an ongoing favorable effect on growth during 2014, particularly as newer agency relationships mature over time. We discuss current initiatives in the Highlights of Our Strategy and Supporting Initiatives section of this quarterly report. The main drivers of trends for 2014 are discussed by segment below in Results of Operations.

Consolidated property casualty agency new business written premiums for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, decreased \$6 million and \$18 million compared with the same periods of 2013. New business written premiums were lower than the year-ago quarter for our commercial lines and personal lines insurance segments and higher for our excess and surplus lines insurance segment. New agency appointments during 2013 and 2014 produced a \$10 million increase in standard lines new business for the first six months of 2014 compared with the same period in 2013. As we appoint new agencies that choose to move accounts to us, we report these accounts as new business. While this business is new to us, in many cases it is not new to the agent. We believe these seasoned accounts tend to be priced more accurately than business that may be less familiar to our agent upon obtaining it from a competing agent.

Other written premiums include premiums ceded to our reinsurers as part of our reinsurance program. A decrease in ceded premiums contributed \$6 million and \$11 million to net written premium growth for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the same periods of 2013. Other written premiums also included a less favorable adjustment for the first six months of 2014, compared with the same period last year, for

estimated direct written premiums of policies in effect but not yet processed in our commercial lines insurance segment. The adjustments had an immaterial effect on earned premiums.

Catastrophe losses and loss expenses typically have a material effect on property casualty results and can vary significantly from period to period. Losses from natural catastrophes contributed 11.4 and 10.2 percentage points to the combined ratio in the second quarter and first six months of 2014, compared with 8.3 and 4.8 percentage points in the same periods of 2013. Some of those losses were applicable to loss deductible provisions of our collateralized reinsurance funded through catastrophe bonds. For our collateralized reinsurance arrangement effective January 18, 2014, aggregate losses applicable through June 30, 2014, were \$8 million for the specific geographic locations included in the severe convective storm portion of that coverage. If aggregate losses after deductibles exceed \$160 million during an annual coverage period, we can recover the excess through funds that collateralize the catastrophe bonds. The following table shows catastrophe losses and loss expenses incurred, net of reinsurance, as well as the effect of loss development on prior period catastrophe events. We individually list declared catastrophe events for which our incurred losses reached or exceeded \$10 million.

Catastrophe Losses and Loss Expenses Incurred

(In millions, net of reinsurance)		Th	nree m	nonths ended J			une 30,	Six months ended June 30					e 30,	
			Co	mm.	Per	rs.	E&S		Co	omm.	Pers.	E	E&S	
Dates	Event	Region	lines		lines		lines	Total	lines		lines		ines	Total
2014														
Jan. 5-8	Freezing, ice and snow, wind	Midwest, Northeast, South	\$	(1)	\$	1	\$ —	\$ —	\$	50	\$ 25	\$	1	\$ 76
Apr. 27- May 1	Wind, hail, flood	Midwest, Northeast, South		6		9	_	. 15		6	9		_	15
May 10-14	Wind, hail, flood	Midwest		4		7	_	- 11		4	7		_	11
May 18-23	Wind, hail, flood	West, Midwest, South		19	2	20	1	40		19	20		1	40
Jun. 3-5	Wind, hail, flood	Midwest		12		2	_	14		12	2		_	14
All other 2014 catastrophes				24		16	_	40		35	25		_	60
Development on 2013 and prior catastrophes				(4)		(1)	_	(5))	(7)	(7))	_	(14)
Calendar year incurred total			\$	60	\$:	54	\$ 1	\$115	\$	119	\$ 81	\$	2	\$202
2013														
Mar. 18-19	Hail, wind	South	\$	2	\$	(2)	\$ —	* \$ —	\$	4	\$ 7	\$	_	\$ 11
Apr. 7-11	Hail, lightning, wind	West, Midwest		14		9	_	- 23		14	9		_	23
Apr. 16-19	Hail, lightning, wind	Midwest		5		7	_	- 12		5	7		_	12
May 18-20	Hail, lightning, wind	South, Midwest, Northeast		9		1	_	- 10		9	1		_	10
All other 2013 catastrophes				21		18	_	39		26	20		_	46
Developn	Development on 2012 and prior catastrophes			(6)		(2)		(8)		(10)	(5))		(15)
Calend	dar year incurred total		\$	45	\$:	31	\$ —	\$ 76	\$	48	\$ 39	\$	_	\$ 87

The following table includes data for losses incurred of \$1 million or more per claim, net of reinsurance.

Consolidated Property Casualty Insurance Losses by Size

(In millions, net of reinsurance)		Three n	nonth	s ended	June 30,			Six mo	onth	s ended J	Tune 30,
	2	2014	20	013	% Char	ige		2014		2013	% Change
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000	\$	11	\$	11		0	\$	12	\$	11	9
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		52		31		68		74		62	19
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		17		24		(29)		27		47	(43)
Total large losses incurred		80		66		21		113		120	(6)
Losses incurred but not reported		(17)		23		nm		5		50	(90)
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		436		349		25		863		694	24
Catastrophe losses		112		75		49		197		84	135
Total losses incurred	\$	611	\$	513		19	\$	1,178	\$	948	24
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:					Pt. Chai	nge	_				Pt. Change
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000		1.1%		1.2%	((0.1)		0.6%		0.6%	0.0
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		5.0		3.5		1.5		3.8		3.4	0.4
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		1.7		2.6	((0.9)		1.4		2.7	(1.3)
Total large loss ratio		7.8		7.3		0.5		5.8		6.7	(0.9)
Losses incurred but not reported		(1.6)		2.5	((4.1)		0.2		2.8	(2.6)
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		43.4		38.3		5.1		43.5		38.5	5.0
Catastrophe losses		11.1		8.2		2.9		9.9		4.7	5.2
Total loss ratio		60.7%		56.3%		4.4		59.4%	_	52.7%	6.7

We believe the inherent variability of aggregate loss experience for our portfolio of larger policies is greater than that of our portfolio of smaller policies, and we continue to monitor the variability in addition to general inflationary trends in loss costs. Our analysis continues to indicate no unexpected concentration of these large losses and case reserve increases by risk category, geographic region, policy inception, agency or field marketing territory. The second-quarter 2014 property casualty total large losses incurred of \$80 million, net of reinsurance, were higher than the \$59 million quarterly average during 2013 and were also higher than the \$66 million for the second quarter of 2013. The ratio for these large losses and case reserve increases was 0.5 percentage points higher compared with last year's second quarter. Second-quarter large losses added to the ratio for total large losses incurred for the first six months of 2014, which also included a first-quarter 2014 ratio that was 2.7 points lower than the first quarter of 2013. We believe results for the three-month and six-month periods largely reflected normal fluctuations in loss patterns and normal variability in large case reserves for claims above \$1 million. Losses by size are discussed in further detail in results of operations by property casualty insurance segment.

COMMERCIAL LINES INSURANCE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions)	Three n	nontl	hs ended	June 30,	Six mo	onths ended.	June 30,
	2014	2	2013	% Change	2014	2013	% Change
Earned premiums	\$ 714	\$	645	11	\$ 1,406	\$ 1,276	10
Fee revenues	1		1	0	2	1	100
Total revenues	715		646	11	1,408	1,277	10
Loss and loss expenses from:							
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	454		414	10	864	784	10
Current accident year catastrophe losses	64		51	25	126	58	117
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(53)		(60)	12	(53)	(68)	22
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	(4)		(6)	33	(7)	(10)	30
Loss and loss expenses	461		399	16	930	764	22
Underwriting expenses	226		213	6	448	421	6
Underwriting profit	\$ 28	\$	34	(18)	\$ 30	\$ 92	(67)
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:				Pt. Change			Pt. Change
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	63.4%		64.2%	(0.8)	61.5%	61.4%	0.1
Current accident year catastrophe losses	9.1		7.9	1.2	9.0	4.6	4.4
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(7.5)		(9.4)	1.9	(3.8)	(5.3)	1.5
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	(0.5)		(0.8)	0.3	(0.5)	(0.8)	0.3
Loss and loss expenses	64.5		61.9	2.6	66.2	59.9	6.3
Underwriting expenses	31.8		33.0	(1.2)	31.9	33.0	(1.1)
Combined ratio	96.3%		94.9%	1.4	98.1%	92.9%	5.2
Combined ratio	96.3%		94.9%	1.4	98.1%	92.9%	5.2
Contribution from catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	1.1		(2.3)	3.4	4.7	(1.5)	6.2
Combined ratio before catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	95.2%		97.2%	(2.0)	93.4%	94.4%	(1.0)

Overview

Performance highlights for the commercial lines segment include:

• Premiums – Commercial lines earned premiums and net written premiums rose during the second quarter and first six months of 2014 primarily due to higher renewal premiums that continued to reflect higher pricing. Lower new business written premiums for both periods sightly reduced overall premium growth. The premiums table below analyzes the primary components of earned premiums. We continue to use predictive analytics tools to improve pricing precision while also leveraging our local relationships with agents through the efforts of our teams that work closely with them. We seek to maintain appropriate pricing discipline for both new and renewal business as our agents and underwriters assess account quality to make careful decisions on a case-by-case basis whether to write or renew a policy.

Agency renewal written premiums rose 11 percent and 12 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, reflecting higher pricing and improving economic conditions. We measure average changes in commercial lines renewal pricing as the rate of change in renewal premium for the new policy period compared with the premium for the expiring policy period, assuming no change in the level of insured exposures or policy coverage between those periods for respective policies. During the second quarter of 2014, our overall standard commercial lines policies averaged estimated renewal price increases in a low-single-digit range. Our average overall commercial lines renewal pricing change includes the flat pricing effect of certain coverages within package policies written for a three-year term that were in force but did not expire during the period being measured. Therefore, the average commercial lines renewal pricing change we report reflects this blend of three-year policies that did not expire and other policies that did expire during the measurement period. For only those commercial lines policies that did expire and were subsequently renewed during the second quarter of 2014, we estimate that the average price increase was again in a mid-single-digit range, with smaller commercial property policies again experiencing average renewal price percentage increases at the high end of the high-single-digit range.

Renewal premiums for our commercial casualty and workers' compensation lines include the result of policy audits that adjust initial premium amounts based on differences between estimated and actual sales or payroll related to a specific policy. Net written premiums from audits during the second quarter and first six months of 2014 netted \$16 million and \$29 million, respectively. Audits contributed \$5 million of the \$71 million net increase in net written premiums for the second quarter of 2014 and \$7 million to the \$114 million net increase in net written premiums for the first six months of 2014, both compared with the same periods a year ago. The \$130 million increase in earned premiums during the first six months of 2014, compared with 2013, included an increase from audit premiums of \$7 million.

New business written premiums for commercial lines decreased \$5 million and \$12 million during the second quarter and first six months of 2014, compared with the same periods last year. Our workers' compensation and commercial auto lines of business in aggregate decreased by \$11 million for the six-month period and accounted for most of the total commercial lines decrease. We generally have seen a decrease in the number of submissions from agencies for us to quote pricing and other coverage terms for new business policies.

Other written premiums – which primarily include premiums ceded to our reinsurers as part of our reinsurance program – included ceded commercial lines premiums for the second quarter and first six months of 2014 that decreased net written premiums by \$6 million and \$10 million less than the respective periods of 2013. Other written premiums included a less favorable adjustment for the first six months of 2014, compared with the same period last year, for estimated direct written premiums of policies in effect but not yet processed. The adjustment had an immaterial effect on earned premiums.

Commercial Lines Insurance Premiums

(In millions)	Three r	non	ths ended .	June 30,	Six m	ine 30,	
	2014		2013	% Change	2014	2013	% Change
Agency renewal written premiums	\$ 669	\$	602	11	\$ 1,382	\$ 1,233	12
Agency new business written premiums	95		100	(5)	185	197	(6)
Other written premiums	(16)		(24)	33	(48)	(24)	(100)
Net written premiums	748		678	10	1,519	1,406	8
Unearned premium change	(34)		(33)	(3)	(113)	(130)	13
Earned premiums	\$ 714	\$	645	11	\$ 1,406	\$ 1,276	10

Combined ratio – The commercial lines combined ratio rose for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the same periods of 2013, primarily due to weather-related natural catastrophe losses and loss expenses that were 1.5 and 4.7 percentage points higher. The second-quarter and first-half 2014 combined ratios also reflected higher noncatastrophe weather-related losses and a lower amount of benefit from favorable reserve development on prior accident years.

Catastrophe losses and loss expenses accounted for 8.6 and 8.5 percentage points of the combined ratio for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with 7.1 and 3.8 percentage points for the same periods last year. The 10-year annual average catastrophe loss impact through 2013 for the commercial lines segment is 4.4 percentage points, and the five-year annual average is 5.7 percentage points. The second-quarter and first-half 2014 ratios for noncatastrophe weather-related losses at 3.4 percent and 4.2 percent were 0.4 and 1.7 percentage points higher than the same periods a year ago.

The net effect of reserve development on prior accident years during the second quarter and first six months of 2014 was favorable for commercial lines overall by \$57 million and \$60 million compared with \$66 million and \$78 million for the same periods in 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, approximately half of the total commercial lines favorable reserve development on prior accident years came from the workers' compensation line of business and approximately one-quarter came from commercial casualty. The remaining commercial lines of business in aggregate represented the remaining quarter of favorable reserve development. The favorable reserve development recognized during the first six months of 2014 for commercial lines included approximately 30 percent for accident year 2013 and approximately 38 percent for accident year 2012, and was primarily due to lower than anticipated loss emergence on known claims. Reserve estimates are inherently uncertain as described in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Critical Accounting Estimates, Property Casualty Insurance Loss and Loss Expense Reserves, Page 49.

The commercial lines underwriting expense ratio decreased for the second quarter and first half of 2014, compared with the same periods of 2013, primarily due to higher earned premiums and ongoing expense management efforts.

Underwriting results and related measures for the combined ratio are summarized in the first table of Commercial Lines Insurance Results of Operations. The tables and discussion below provide additional details for certain primary drivers of underwriting results.

Commercial Lines Insurance Losses by Size

(In millions, net of reinsurance)		Three r	nonth	ns ended	June 30	,	Six m	onth	s ended J	une 30,
	2	2014	2	2013	% Cha	inge	2014		2013	% Change
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000	\$	11	\$	11		0	\$ 12	\$	11	9
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		47		28		68	64		55	16
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		15		14		7	25		37	(32)
Total large losses incurred		73		53		38	101		103	(2)
Losses incurred but not reported		(35)		28		nm	(12)		50	nm
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		292		228		28	574		454	26
Catastrophe losses		59		45		31	116		46	152
Total losses incurred	\$	389	\$	354		10	\$ 779	\$	653	19
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:					Pt. Cha	ange				Pt. Change
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000		1.6%		1.7%		(0.1)	0.8%		0.9%	(0.1)
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		6.5		4.4		2.1	4.6		4.3	0.3
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		2.1		2.2		(0.1)	1.8		2.8	(1.0)
Total large loss ratio		10.2		8.3		1.9	7.2		8.0	(0.8)
Losses incurred but not reported		(4.8)		4.3		(9.1)	(0.9)		3.9	(4.8)
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		41.0		35.4		5.6	40.8		35.7	5.1
Catastrophe losses		8.3		6.9		1.4	8.3		3.6	4.7
Total loss ratio		54.7%		54.9%		(0.2)	55.4%		51.2%	4.2

We continue to monitor new losses and case reserve increases greater than \$1 million for trends in factors such as initial reserve levels, loss cost inflation and claim settlement expenses. Our analysis continues to indicate no unexpected concentration of these large losses and case reserve increases by risk category, geographic region, policy inception, agency or field marketing territory. The second-quarter 2014 commercial lines total large losses incurred of \$73 million, net of reinsurance, were higher than the \$48 million quarterly average during 2013. They were also higher than the \$53 million total large losses incurred for the second quarter of 2013. The ratio for these large losses and case reserve increases was 1.9 percentage points higher compared with last year's second quarter. Second-quarter large losses added to the ratio for total large losses incurred for the first six months of 2014, which also included a first-quarter 2014 ratio that was 3.9 points lower than the first quarter of 2013. We believe results for the three-month and six-month periods largely reflected normal fluctuations in loss patterns and normal variability in large case reserves for claims above \$1 million.

Commercial Lines of Business Analysis

Approximately 95 percent of our commercial lines premiums relate to accounts with coverages from more than one of our business lines. As a result, we believe that our commercial lines business is best measured and evaluated on a segment basis. However, we provide line of business data to summarize premium and loss trends separately for each line. The ratios shown in the table below are components of loss and loss expenses as a percentage of earned premiums.

(In millions)		Three n	non	ths ended	June 30	,		Six mo	ntl	hs ended .	June 30,
		2014		2013	% Char	ıge		2014		2013	% Change
Commercial casualty:											
Written premiums	\$	249	\$	222		12	\$	507	\$	459	10
Earned premiums		234		211		11		458		415	10
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		61.7%		55.7%				59.1%		58.2%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		_		_				_		_	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		(10.5)		(15.1)				(3.6)		(13.3)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses		_		_				_		_	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio		51.2%		40.6%				55.5%		44.9%	
Commercial property:											
Written premiums	\$	197	\$	164		20	\$	390	\$	330	18
Earned premiums		180		152		18		351		299	17
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		50.8%		52.9%				52.1%		50.9%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		25.8		28.4				26.7		15.8	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		(9.8)		(6.0)				(5.3)		(2.0)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses		(2.3)		(3.2)				(1.6)		(2.6)	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio		64.5%		72.1%				71.9%		62.1%	
Commercial auto:											
Written premiums	\$	144	\$	127		13	\$	289	\$	262	10
Earned premiums		132		117		13		258		231	12
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		72.1%		76.3%				70.0%		68.1%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		4.1		1.5				2.1		1.0	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		9.0		(3.2)				4.5		(0.7)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses				(0.3)				(0.1)		(0.2)	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio		85.2%		74.3%				76.5%	_	68.2%	
Workers' compensation:											
Written premiums	\$	92	\$	85		8	\$	198	\$	198	0
Earned premiums		95		87		9		187		175	7
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		83.2%		84.8%				80.0%		78.3%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		_		_				_		_	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		(21.2)		(17.8)				(15.8)		(12.9)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses											
Total loss and loss expenses ratio		62.0%		67.0%				64.2%	_	65.4%	
Specialty packages:											
Written premiums	\$	21	\$	36		(42)	\$	48	\$	76	(37)
Earned premiums		30		37		(19)		66		76	(13)
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		76.0%		80.0%				69.5%		76.7%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		42.2		16.1				40.9		11.2	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		(13.0)		(3.3)				(9.2)		(2.8)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses		1.9		(0.6)				(0.7)		(2.0)	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio	_	107.1%		92.2%			_	100.5%	_	83.1%	
- 5tm 1555 mile 1555 enpenses rutto	_		_				_		_	02.170	

(In millions)	Three m	ont	ths ended	June 30),		Six mo	onth	is ended.	June 30,
	2014		2013	% Cha	nge		2014		2013	% Change
Management liability and surety:										
Written premiums	\$ 32	\$	33		(3)	\$	62	\$	59	5
Earned premiums	31		30		3		62		59	5
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	58.0%		60.1%				47.7%		52.4%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses	_		_				_		_	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	5.8		4.8				12.8		32.4	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	_		_				_		_	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio	63.8%		64.9%				60.5%		84.8%	
Machinery and equipment:		_				_				
Written premiums	\$ 13	\$	11		18	\$	25	\$	22	14
Earned premiums	12		11		9		24		21	14
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	18.2%		45.8%				19.6%		30.5%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses	_		_				_		_	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(7.0)		(4.9)				(9.0)		1.5	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	_		_				_		_	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio	11.2%		40.9%				10.6%		32.0%	

As discussed above, the loss and loss expenses ratio component of the combined ratio is an important measure of underwriting profit and performance. Catastrophe losses are volatile and can distort short-term profitability trends, particularly for certain lines of business. Development of loss and loss expense reserves on prior accident years can also distort trends in measures of profitability for recently written business. To illustrate these effects, we separate their impact on the ratios shown in the table above. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the commercial lines of business with the most significant profitability challenge were commercial auto and specialty packages. We discuss current initiatives for commercial auto in the Highlights of Our Strategy and Supporting Initiatives section of this guarterly report and on 10-K Page 70. For the first six months of 2014, our commercial auto policies experienced average renewal price percentage increases toward the high end of the mid-single-digit range, slightly higher than the average price increase for year 2013. We also added rating variables to our commercial auto pricing model and further automated collection of key rating variables. On 10-K Page 72, we noted that specialty package results were expected to improve over time due to efforts to improve pricing precision in addition to various initiatives related to the property coverage portion of this line of business. Those underwriting actions and the introduction of CinciPakTM – a new program designed to replace many of our specialty packages – are largely responsible for a 37 percent decrease in specialty packages net written premiums for the first six months of 2014, compared with the same period of 2013, despite the effects of higher average renewal prices on retained policies. Premiums for CinciPak are included in our commercial casualty or commercial property lines of business.

PERSONAL LINES INSURANCE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions)	Three m	ont	hs ended	June 30,		Six mo	nth	s ended.	June 30,
	2014		2013	% Change		2014		2013	% Change
Earned premiums	\$ 258	\$	237	9	\$	512	\$	468	9
Fee revenues	1		1	0		1		1	0
Total revenues	259		238	9		513		469	9
Loss and loss expenses from:									
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	173		159	9		345		285	21
Current accident year catastrophe losses	55		33	67		88		44	100
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	_		(24)	100		(11)		(17)	35
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	(1)		(2)	50		(7)		(5)	(40)
Loss and loss expenses	227		166	37		415		307	35
Underwriting expenses	72		73	(1)		145		143	1
Underwriting (loss) profit	\$ (40)	\$	(1)	nm	\$	(47)	\$	19	nm
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:				Pt. Change					Pt. Change
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	67.1%		66.8%	0.3		67.5%		60.9%	6.6
Current accident year catastrophe losses	21.0		13.7	7.3		17.2		9.3	7.9
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	0.2		(9.9)	10.1		(2.2)		(3.5)	1.3
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	(0.6)		(1.1)	0.5		(1.5)		(1.2)	(0.3)
Loss and loss expenses	87.7		69.5	18.2		81.0		65.5	15.5
Underwriting expenses	28.1		30.9	(2.8)		28.4		30.6	(2.2)
Combined ratio	115.8%		100.4%	15.4		109.4%		96.1%	13.3
Combined ratio	115.8%		100.4%	15.4		109.4%		96.1%	13.3
Contribution from catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	20.6		2.7	17.9		13.5		4.6	8.9
Combined ratio before catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	 95.2%		97.7%	(2.5)	_	95.9%		91.5%	4.4

Overview

Performance highlights for the personal lines segment include:

- Premiums Personal lines earned premiums and net written premiums for the second quarter and first six months of 2014 continued to grow primarily due to higher renewal premiums. The premiums table below analyzes the primary components of earned premiums.
 - Agency renewal written premiums increased 10 percent for the second quarter and 11 percent for the first six months of 2014 because of rate increases in recent years, ongoing high levels of policy retention, premium growth initiatives and a higher level of insured exposures. In October 2013, we began our fifth round of increases for the homeowner line of business, averaging approximately 10 percent, with some individual policy rate increases lower or higher based on each insured exposure's specific risk characteristics. Homeowner rate changes for several recent years represented an average annual rate increase in the high-single-digit range.

Beginning in the second quarter of 2014, we implemented rate changes for our personal auto line of business in the majority of the 30 states where we market personal lines policies. The average personal auto rate change is an increase in the low-single-digit range, with approximately half of those states experiencing a mid-single-digit increase. Some individual policies experienced lower or higher rate changes based on enhanced pricing precision enabled by predictive models. Rate changes for personal auto beginning in late 2010 also represented an average annual rate increase in the low-single-digit range.

Personal lines new business written premiums were lower during the first six months of 2014, compared with the first six months of 2013. The decline began in the third quarter of 2013 and was expected due to our underwriting actions such as expanded use of actual cash value loss settlement for older roofs. For the majority of states where we market personal lines policies, those underwriting actions were effective beginning April 1, 2013.

Other written premiums – which primarily include premiums ceded to our reinsurers as part of our reinsurance program – had a minimal effect on net written premium growth in the second quarter and first six months of 2014 because they totaled amounts roughly similar to the same periods of 2013.

We continue to implement strategies discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Strategic Initiatives, Page 12, to enhance our responsiveness to marketplace changes and to help achieve our long-term objectives for personal lines growth and profitability. These strategies include several initiatives to more profitably underwrite property coverages.

Personal Lines Insurance Premiums

(In millions)	Three r	nont	ths ended l	June 30,	Six m	ine 30,	
	2014		2013	% Change	2014	2013	% Change
Agency renewal written premiums	\$ 276	\$	251	10	\$ 494	\$ 446	11
Agency new business written premiums	24		30	(20)	45	58	(22)
Other written premiums	(6)		(8)	25	(14)	(16)	13
Net written premiums	294		273	8	525	488	8
Unearned premium change	(36)		(36)	0	(13)	(20)	35
Earned premiums	\$ 258	\$	237	9	\$ 512	\$ 468	9

 Combined ratio – The personal lines combined ratio rose for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the same period of 2013, in part due to weather-related catastrophe losses and loss expenses that were 7.8 and 7.6 percentage points higher.

Catastrophe losses and loss expenses accounted for 20.4 and 15.7 percentage points of the combined ratio for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with 12.6 and 8.1 percentage points for the same periods last year. The 10-year annual average catastrophe loss ratio through 2013 for the personal lines segment was 11.3 percentage points, and the five-year annual average was 13.9 percentage points. The second-quarter and first-half 2014 ratios for noncatastrophe weather-related losses at 8.0 percent and 8.9 percent, respectively, were 0.7 and 2.9 percentage points higher than the same periods a year ago.

In addition to the rate increases discussed above, we continue to refine our pricing to better match premiums to the risk of loss on individual policies. The results of improved pricing per risk and broad-based rate increases are expected to help position the combined ratio at a profitable level over the long term. In addition, greater geographic diversification is expected over time to reduce the volatility of homeowner loss ratios attributable to weather-related catastrophe losses.

Personal lines reserve development on prior accident years was favorable during the second quarter and first six months of 2014. Favorable reserve development was \$4 million lower for the first six months of 2014 compared with the same period of 2013. Approximately half of the \$18 million of favorable reserve development on prior accident years recognized during the first six months of 2014 occurred in the homeowner line of business and roughly one-third occurred in the personal auto line of business, reflecting lower than anticipated loss emergence on known claims. Approximately three-quarters of the personal lines favorable reserve development recognized during the first six months of 2014 was for accident years 2013 and 2012 in aggregate. Reserve estimates are inherently uncertain as described in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Critical Accounting Estimates, Property Casualty Insurance Loss and Loss Expense Reserves, Page 49.

The underwriting expense ratio decreased for the second quarter and first six months of 2014 compared with the same periods of 2013, primarily due to lower commissions, higher earned premiums and ongoing expense management efforts.

Personal Lines Insurance Losses by Size

(In millions, net of reinsurance)		Three 1	nont	hs ended	June 30,		Six m	ontl	ns ended .	d June 30,	
	2	2014	2	2013	% Cha	nge	2014		2013	% Change	
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000	\$	_	\$	_		nm	\$ _	\$	_	nm	
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		4		3		33	8		6	33	
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		2		8		(75)	2		8	(75)	
Total large losses incurred		6		11		(45)	10		14	(29)	
Losses incurred but not reported		9		(5)		nm	4		(5)	nm	
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		138		109		27	276		222	24	
Catastrophe losses		52		29		79	79		37	114	
Total losses incurred	\$	205	\$	144		42	\$ 369	\$	268	38	
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:					Pt. Cha	nge				Pt. Change	
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000		_%		—%		0.0	_%		<u></u> %	0.0	
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		1.7		1.3		0.4	1.5		1.3	0.2	
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		0.6		3.3		(2.7)	0.5		1.7	(1.2)	
Total large loss ratio		2.3		4.6		(2.3)	2.0		3.0	(1.0)	
Losses incurred but not reported		3.5		(2.2)		5.7	0.8		(1.1)	1.9	
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		53.6		45.8		7.8	54.0		47.4	6.6	
Catastrophe losses		20.0		12.4		7.6	15.4		7.9	7.5	
Total loss ratio		79.4%	_	60.6%		18.8	72.2%	_	57.2%	15.0	

We continue to monitor new losses and case reserve increases greater than \$1 million for trends in factors such as initial reserve levels, loss cost inflation and claim settlement expenses. Our analysis continues to indicate no unexpected concentration of these large losses and case reserve increases by risk category, geographic region, policy inception, agency or field marketing territory. In the second quarter of 2014, the personal lines total ratio for these losses and case reserve increases, net of reinsurance, was 2.3 percentage points lower compared with last year's second quarter. Second-quarter large losses added to the ratio for total large losses incurred for the first six months of 2014, which also included a first-quarter 2014 ratio that was 0.4 points higher than the first quarter of 2013. We believe results for the three-month and six-month periods largely reflected normal fluctuations in loss patterns and normal variability in large case reserves for claims above \$1 million.

Personal Lines of Business Analysis

We prefer to write personal lines coverages on an account basis to include both auto and homeowner coverages as well as coverages from the other personal business line. As a result, we believe that our personal lines business is best measured and evaluated on a segment basis. However, we provide line of business data to summarize premium and loss trends separately for each line. The ratios shown in the table below are components of loss and loss expenses as a percentage of earned premiums.

(In millions)		Three m	ont	hs ended	June 3	30,		Six mo	nth	s ended J	une 30,
		2014		2013	% Ch	nange		2014		2013	% Change
Personal auto:											
Written premiums	\$	133	\$	125		6	\$	240	\$	225	7
Earned premiums		117		109		7		233		216	8
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		80.5%		85.4%				80.1%		76.3%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		4.9		1.4				2.7		1.4	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		(1.7)		(10.2)				(2.6)		(1.2)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses		(0.2)		(0.4)				(0.3)		(0.4)	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio		83.5%		76.2%				79.9%		76.1%	
Homeowner:	_						_		_		
Written premiums	\$	128	\$	116		10	\$	226	\$	205	10
Earned premiums		111		99		12		220		195	13
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		59.5%		50.5%				60.4%		45.6%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		41.5		30.1				35.0		19.9	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		3.6		(7.4)				(1.4)		(4.1)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses		(0.9)		(2.0)				(3.2)		(2.2)	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio		103.7%		71.2%				90.8%		59.2%	
Other personal:											
Written premiums	\$	33	\$	32		3	\$	59	\$	58	2
Earned premiums		30		29		3		59		57	4
Current accident year before catastrophe losses		42.3%		52.5%				44.3%		54.5%	
Current accident year catastrophe losses		7.9		4.3				7.8		2.9	
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses		(5.4)		(17.8)				(3.6)		(9.5)	
Prior accident years catastrophe losses		(0.5)		(0.9)				(0.1)		(1.1)	
Total loss and loss expenses ratio		44.3%		38.1%				48.4%		46.8%	

As discussed above, the loss and loss expenses ratio component of the combined ratio is an important measure of underwriting profit and performance. Catastrophe losses are volatile and can distort short-term profitability trends, particularly for certain lines of business. Development of loss and loss expense reserves on prior accident years can also distort trends in measures of profitability for recently written business. To illustrate these effects, we separate their impact on the ratios shown in the table above. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the personal line of business with the most significant profitability challenge was personal auto. Rate increases that apply pricing precision features for our personal auto policies continue to be implemented, and were effective beginning second-quarter 2014 for the majority of states where we market personal lines products. On average, the rate increase was in a low-single-digit range, with approximately half of those states experiencing a mid-single-digit increase. As discussed in Personal Lines Insurance Results of Operations, Overview, we continue actions to improve pricing per risk and overall rates, which are expected to improve future profitability.

EXCESS AND SURPLUS LINES INSURANCE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions)	Three r	nont	ns ended	June 30,		Six mo	nths	ended J	une 30,
	2014	2	2013	% Change		2014	2	2013	% Change
Earned premiums	\$ 34	\$	28	21	\$	67	\$	55	22
Loss and loss expenses from:									
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	26		18	44		53		38	39
Current accident year catastrophe losses	1		_	nm		2		_	nm
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(8)		_	nm		(17)		(2)	(750)
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	_		_	nm		_		_	nm
Loss and loss expenses	19		18	6		38		36	6
Underwriting expenses	10		9	11		20		18	11
Underwriting profit	\$ 5	\$	1	400	\$	9	\$	1	nm
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:				Pt. Change					Pt. Change
Current accident year before catastrophe losses	75.4%		65.7%	9.7		77.9%		69.6%	8.3
Current accident year catastrophe losses	2.3		0.9	1.4		2.6		0.6	2.0
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(21.3)		(0.7)	(20.6)		(24.1)		(4.7)	(19.4)
Prior accident years catastrophe losses	0.6		1.0	(0.4)		0.4		0.6	(0.2)
Loss and loss expenses	57.0		66.9	(9.9)		56.8		66.1	(9.3)
Underwriting expenses	28.0		31.8	(3.8)		29.1		32.3	(3.2)
Combined ratio	85.0%		98.7%	(13.7)		85.9%		98.4%	(12.5)
Combined ratio	85.0%		98.7%	(13.7)		85.9%		98.4%	(12.5)
Contribution from catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	(18.4)		1.2	(19.6)		(21.1)		(3.5)	(17.6)
Combined ratio before catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development	103.4%		97.5%	5.9	_	107.0%		101.9%	5.1

Overview

Performance highlights for the excess and surplus lines segment include:

Premiums – Excess and surplus lines earned premiums and net written premiums continued to grow during
the second quarter and first six months of 2014. Both renewal and new business written premiums contributed
significantly to overall premium growth.

Renewal written premiums rose 12 percent and 20 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the same periods of 2013, reflecting the opportunity to renew many accounts for the first time as well as higher renewal pricing. We experienced estimated average renewal pricing increases estimated for our excess and surplus lines policies in a mid-single-digit range, below the level of the first quarter of 2014 and the average for the year 2013. June 2014 was the 46th consecutive month of positive average price changes for this segment of our property casualty business. We measure average changes in excess and surplus lines renewal pricing as the rate of change in renewal premium for the new policy period compared with the premium for the expiring policy period, assuming no change in the level of insured exposures or policy coverage between those periods for respective policies.

New business written premiums again rose for the second quarter and first six months of 2014, compared with the same periods of 2013. The increase largely reflects the addition of six excess and surplus lines field marketing representatives since March 31, 2013, representing a 60 percent increase in the number of representatives. Some of what we report as new business came from accounts that were not new to our agents. We believe our agents' seasoned accounts tend to be priced more accurately than business that may be less familiar to them.

Excess and Surplus Lines Insurance Premiums

(In millions)	Three n	non	ths ended J	Six m	ine 30,		
	2014		2013	% Change	2014	2013	% Change
Agency renewal written premiums	\$ 29	\$	26	12	\$ 54	\$ 45	20
Agency new business written premiums	14		9	56	26	19	37
Other written premiums	(3)		(2)	(50)	(5)	(4)	(25)
Net written premiums	40		33	21	75	60	25
Unearned premium change	(6)		(5)	(20)	(8)	(5)	(60)
Earned premiums	\$ 34	\$	28	21	\$ 67	\$ 55	22

Combined ratio – The excess and surplus lines combined ratio improved for the second quarter and first six
months of 2014 by 13.7 and 12.5 percentage points compared with the same periods of 2013, primarily due to
larger amounts of favorable reserve development on prior accident years.

Catastrophe losses and loss expenses accounted for 2.9 and 3.0 percentage points of the combined ratio for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with 1.9 and 1.2 percentage points for the same periods of 2013. Noncatastrophe weather-related losses reduced the combined ratio by 1.0 percentage points in the second quarter of 2014 and increased the combined ratio by 1.7 percentage points in the first six months of 2014, compared with an increase of 1.1 percentage points for each of the same periods a year ago.

Excess and surplus lines net favorable reserve development on prior accident years as a ratio to earned premiums was 23.7 percentage points for the for the first six months of 2014, compared with 4.1 percentage points for the same period of 2013. The favorable reserve development recognized during the first six months of 2014 for excess and surplus lines included approximately 69 percent for accident years 2013 and 2012 in aggregate, and related primarily to lower than anticipated loss emergence on known claims. Reserve estimates are inherently uncertain as described in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Critical Accounting Estimates, Property Casualty Insurance Loss and Loss Expense Reserves, Page 49.

The underwriting expense ratio for the second quarter and first six months of 2014 decreased compared with the same periods of 2013, primarily due to higher earned premiums and ongoing expense management efforts.

Excess and Surplus Lines Insurance Losses by Size

(In millions, net of reinsurance)		Three 1	nontl	ns ended	June 30),	Six months ended June 30,				
	2	014	2	2013	% Ch	ange	2014		2013	% Change	
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000	\$	_	\$	_		nm	\$ _	\$	_	nm	
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		1		_		0	2		1	nm	
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		_		2		nm	 		2	nm	
Total large losses incurred		1		2		(50)	2		3	(33)	
Losses incurred but not reported		9		—		nm	13		5	nm	
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		6		12		(50)	13		18	(28)	
Catastrophe losses		1		1		nm	2		1	nm	
Total losses incurred	\$	17	\$	15		13	\$ 30	\$	27	11	
Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:					Pt. Ch	ange			_	Pt. Change	
Current accident year losses greater than \$5,000,000		_%		%		0.0	_%		%	0.0	
Current accident year losses \$1,000,000- \$5,000,000		3.1		_		3.1	3.1		2.0	1.1	
Large loss prior accident year reserve development		_		7.8		(7.8)	(0.1)		4.0	(4.1)	
Total large loss ratio		3.1		7.8		(4.7)	3.0		6.0	(3.0)	
Losses incurred but not reported		25.7		1.0		24.7	19.6		10.1	9.5	
Other losses excluding catastrophe losses		15.1		41.4		(26.3)	18.3		32.5	(14.2)	
Catastrophe losses		2.7		1.9		0.8	2.8		1.1	1.7	
Total loss ratio		46.6%		52.1%		(5.5)	43.7%		49.7%	(6.0)	

We continue to monitor new losses and case reserve increases greater than \$1 million for trends in factors such as initial reserve levels, loss cost inflation and claim settlement expenses. Our analysis continues to indicate no unexpected concentration of these large losses and case reserve increases by risk category, geographic region, policy inception, agency or field marketing territory. In the second quarter of 2014, the excess and surplus lines total ratio for these losses and case reserve increases, net of reinsurance, was 4.7 percentage points lower compared with last year's second quarter. Second-quarter large losses added to the ratio for total large losses incurred for the first six months of 2014, which also included a first-quarter 2014 ratio that was 1.3 points lower than the first quarter of 2013. We believe results for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, largely reflected normal fluctuations in loss patterns and normal variability in large case reserves for claims above \$1 million.

LIFE INSURANCE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions)	Three months ended June 30, Six months ended June 30										
		2014		2013	% Change	2014			2013	% Change	
Earned premiums	\$	53	\$	44	20	\$	101	\$	86	17	
Separate account investment management fees		1		1	0		3		2	50	
Total revenues		54		45	20		104		88	18	
Contract holders' benefits incurred		56		48	17		112		92	22	
Investment interest credited to contract holders		(20)		(18)	(11)		(41)		(39)	(5)	
Operating expenses incurred		19		12	58		34		25	36	
Total benefits and expenses		55		42	31		105		78	35	
Life insurance segment (loss) profit	\$	(1)	\$	3	nm	\$	(1)	\$	10	nm	

Overview

Performance highlights for the life insurance segment include:

Revenues – Revenues increased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, primarily due to higher
earned premiums from term and universal life insurance products. The unlocking of interest rate assumptions
for our universal life contracts during the second quarter of 2014 accelerated the amortization of unearned
front-end loads, increasing universal life earned premiums. For the comparable period of 2013, unlocking
slowed the amortization of unearned front-end loads, reducing universal life earned premiums.

Net in-force life insurance policy face amounts increased to \$49.246 billion at June 30, 2014, from \$48.063 billion at year-end 2013.

Fixed annuity deposits received for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, were \$12 million and \$21 million compared with \$10 million and \$21 million for the same periods of 2013. Fixed annuity deposits have a minimal impact to earned premiums because deposits received are initially recorded as liabilities. Profit is earned over time by way of interest rate spreads. We do not write variable or equity-indexed annuities and are currently de-emphasizing fixed annuity sales due to the low interest rate environment.

Life Insurance Premiums

(In millions)	Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,					
		2014		2013	% Change		2014		2013	% Change
Term life insurance	\$	33	\$	31	6	\$	65	\$	60	8
Universal life insurance		12		4	200		20		9	122
Other life insurance, annuity and disability income products		8		9	(11)		16		17	(6)
Net earned premiums	\$	53	\$	44	20	\$	101	\$	86	17

Profitability – Our life insurance segment typically reports a small profit or loss on a GAAP basis because profits
from investment income spreads are included in our investment segment results. We include only investment
income credited to contract holders (including interest assumed in life insurance policy reserve calculations) in
our life insurance segment results. A loss of \$1 million for our life insurance segment in the first six months of
2014 compared with a gain of \$10 million for the same period of 2013 is largely due to less favorable mortality
experience in 2014.

Although we report most of our life insurance company investment income in our investments segment results, we recognize that assets under management, capital appreciation and investment income are integral to evaluation of the success of the life insurance segment because of the long duration of life products. On a basis that includes investment income and realized gains or losses from life-insurance-related invested assets, the life insurance company reported a net profit of \$12 million and \$21 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with a net profit of \$14 million and \$28 million for the same periods of 2013. The life insurance company portfolio had after-tax realized investment gains of \$2 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

Life segment benefits and expenses consist principally of contract holders' (policyholders') benefits incurred related to traditional life and interest-sensitive products and operating expenses incurred, net of deferred acquisition costs. Total benefits increased in the first six months of 2014. Through the first six months, mortality results were worse than projected but remained within our pricing expectations.

Operating expenses for the first six months of 2014 increased compared with the same period a year ago. Unlocking of interest rate assumptions, discussed in the revenues section above, decreased the amount of expenses deferred to future periods, increasing operating expenses for the second quarter and first six months of 2014. For the comparable periods in 2013, unlocking increased the amount of expenses deferred to future periods, reducing operating expenses.

Pretax earnings for the first six months of 2014 were reduced by approximately \$2 million due to interest rate unlocking. For the comparable period of 2013, the effect of unlocking on pretax earnings was minimal.

INVESTMENT RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

The investments segment contributes investment income and realized gains and losses to results of operations. Investments traditionally are our primary source of pretax and after-tax profits.

Investment Income

Pretax investment income increased 4 percent and 5 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with the same periods of 2013. Interest income rose due to net purchases of securities that offset the continuing effects of the low interest rate environment. Higher dividend income reflected rising dividend rates and net purchases of securities. Dividend income for the first quarter of 2013 was affected by certain holdings that accelerated payments from the first quarter of 2013 into the fourth quarter of 2012 in response to anticipated tax law changes.

Investment Results

(In millions)	Three m	onth	s ended	June 30,	Six mo	nths ended	ended June 30,	
	2014		2013	% Change	2014	2013	% Change	
Total investment income, net of expenses, pretax	\$ 136	\$	131	4	\$ 271	\$ 259	5	
Investment interest credited to contract holders	(20)		(18)	(11)	(41)	(39)	(5)	
Realized investment gains and losses summary:								
Realized investment gains and losses	17		14	21	41	56	(27)	
Change in fair value of securities with embedded derivatives	(3)		_	nm	(4)	1	nm	
Other-than-temporary impairment charges	_		_	nm	(1)	(2)	50	
Total realized investment gains and losses	14		14	0	36	55	(35)	
Investment operations profit	\$ 130	\$	127	2	\$ 266	\$ 275	(3)	

Average yields in the table below are based on the average invested asset and cash amounts indicated in the table, using fixed-maturity securities valued at amortized cost and all other securities at fair value. In our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Investments Segment, Page 23, and Item 7, Investments Outlook, Page 94, we discussed our portfolio strategies. We discuss risks related to our investment income and our fixed-maturity and equity investment portfolios in this quarterly report Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We continue to position our portfolio considering both the challenges presented by the current low interest rate environment and the risks presented by potential future inflation. As bonds in our generally laddered portfolio mature or are called over the near term, we will be challenged to replace their current yield. From July 2014 through December 2016, approximately 16.3 percent of our fixed-maturity investments mature with an average pretax yield-to-amortized cost of 4.5 percent. During the last six months of 2014, 2.6 percent of our fixed-maturity investments mature and yield 4.5 percent. While our bond portfolio more than covers our insurance reserve liabilities, we believe our diversified common stock portfolio of mainly blue chip, dividend-paying companies represents one of our best investment opportunities for the long term.

(In millions)		Three m	ont	hs ended	June 30,		Six mo	nth	s ended J	une 30,
		2014		2013	% Change		2014		2013	% Change
Investment income:										
Interest	\$	103	\$	103	0	\$	207	\$	205	1
Dividends		34		30	13		66		57	16
Other		1		_	nm		2		1	100
Less investment expenses		2		2	0		4		4	0
Total investment income, net of expenses, pretax		136		131	4		271		259	5
Less income taxes		33		32	3		65		63	3
Total investment income, net of expenses, after-tax	\$	103	\$	99	4	\$	206	\$	196	5
Effective tax rate		23.8%		24.1%			23.9%		24.2%	
Average invested assets plus cash and cash equivalents	\$1	3,743	\$	12,661		\$:	13,686	\$	12,451	
Average yield pretax		3.96%		4.14%			3.96%		4.16%	
Average yield after-tax		3.00		3.13			3.10		3.15	
Effective fixed-maturity tax rate		27.1		27.1			27.1%		27.0%	
Average fixed-maturity at amortized cost	\$	8,664	\$	8,376		\$	8,679	\$	8,325	
Average fixed-maturity yield pretax		4.76%		4.92%			4.77%		4.92%	
Average fixed-maturity yield after-tax		3.47		3.59			3.48		3.60	

Net Realized Gains and Losses

We reported net realized investment gains of \$14 million and \$36 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with \$14 million and \$55 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Investment gains or losses are recognized upon the sales of investments or as otherwise required under GAAP. The timing of realized gains or losses from sales can have a material effect on results in any quarter. However, such gains or losses usually have little, if any, effect on total shareholders' equity because most equity and fixed-maturity investments are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gain or loss included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Accounting requirements for OTTI charges for the fixed-maturity portfolio are disclosed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Page 131.

The total net realized investment gains for the first six months of 2014 included:

- \$34 million in net gains from the sale of various common and preferred stock holdings
- \$6 million in net gains from fixed-maturity security sales and calls
- \$3 million in other net realized losses, including \$4 million in losses from changes in fair value of securities with embedded derivatives
- \$1 million in OTTI charges to write down one fixed-maturity security and three equity securities

Of the 2,953 securities in the portfolio, no securities were trading below 70 percent of amortized cost at June 30, 2014. Our asset impairment committee regularly monitors the portfolio, including a quarterly review of the entire portfolio for potential OTTI charges. We believe that if the improving liquidity in the markets were to reverse or the economic recovery were to significantly stall, we could experience declines in portfolio values and possibly additional OTTI charges.

The table below provides additional detail for OTTI charges:

(In millions)	Three m	onths ended	Six months en	nded June 30,	
	2014		2013	2014	2013
Fixed maturities:					
Utilities	\$	— \$	— \$	_	\$ 1
Municipal		_	_	_	1
Total fixed maturities		_	_		2
Common equities:					
Energy		_	_	1	_
Total common equities		_	_	1	_
Total	\$	\$		1	\$ 2

OTHER

We report as Other the noninvestment operations of the parent company and a noninsurer subsidiary, CFC Investment Company. Losses before income taxes for Other were largely driven by interest expense from debt of the parent company.

(In millions)	Three months ended June 30,						Six months ended June 30,					
	2	014		2013	% Change		2014		2013	% Change		
Interest and fees on loans and leases	\$	1	\$	1	0	\$	3	\$	3	0		
Other revenues		1		1	0		1		1	0		
Total revenues		2		2	0		4		4	0		
Interest expense		13		14	(7)		27		27	0		
Operating expenses		4		4	0		8		9	(11)		
Total expenses		17		18	(6)		35		36	(3)		
Other loss	\$	(15)	\$	(16)	6	\$	(31)	\$	(32)	3		

TAXES

We had \$23 million and \$51 million of income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with \$38 million and \$101 million for the same periods of 2013. The effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, was 21.5 percent and 22.6 percent compared with 25.7 percent and 27.7 percent for the same periods last year. The change in our effective tax rate was primarily due to changes in pretax income from underwriting results and realized investment gains and losses, with immaterial changes in the amount of permanent book-tax differences.

Historically, we have pursued a strategy of investing some portion of cash flow in tax-advantaged fixed-maturity and equity securities to minimize our overall tax liability and maximize after-tax earnings. See Tax-Exempt Fixed Maturities in this quarterly report Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk for further discussion on municipal bond purchases in our fixed-maturity investment portfolio. For our property casualty insurance subsidiaries, approximately 85 percent of income from tax-advantaged fixed-maturity investments is exempt from federal tax. Our life insurance company and our noninsurance companies own an immaterial amount of tax-advantaged, fixed-maturity investments. For our property casualty insurance subsidiaries, the dividend received deduction, after the dividend proration of the 1986 Tax Reform Act, exempts approximately 60 percent of dividends from qualified equities from federal tax. For our noninsurance companies, the dividend received deduction exempts 70 percent of dividends from qualified equities. Our life insurance company does not own equities subject to the dividend received deduction. Details about our effective tax rate are in this quarterly report Item 1, Note 9 – Income Taxes.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At June 30, 2014, shareholders' equity was \$6.343 billion compared with \$6.070 billion at December 31, 2013. Total debt was \$839 million at June 30, 2014, down from \$894 million at December 31, 2013. At June 30, 2014, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$462 million compared with \$433 million at December 31, 2013.

SOURCES OF LIQUIDITY

Subsidiary Dividends

Our lead insurance subsidiary declared dividends of \$200 million to the parent company during the first six months of 2014, matching the same period of 2013. For the full-year 2013, subsidiary dividends declared totaled \$400 million. State of Ohio regulatory requirements restrict the dividends our insurance subsidiary can pay. During 2014, total dividends that our insurance subsidiary could pay to our parent company without regulatory approval are approximately \$433 million.

Investing Activities

Investment income is a source of liquidity for both the parent company and its insurance subsidiary. We continue to focus on portfolio strategies to balance near-term income generation and long-term book value growth.

Parent company obligations can be funded with income on investments held at the parent company level or through sales of securities in that portfolio, although we prefer to follow an investment philosophy seeking to compound cash flows over the long term. These sources of capital can help minimize subsidiary dividends to the parent company, protecting insurance subsidiary capital.

See our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Investment Segment, Page 23, for a discussion of our historic investment strategy, portfolio allocation and quality.

Insurance Underwriting

Our property casualty and life insurance underwriting operations provide liquidity because we generally receive premiums before paying losses under the policies purchased with those premiums. After satisfying our cash requirements, we use excess cash flows for investment, increasing future investment income.

Historically, cash receipts from property casualty and life insurance premiums, along with investment income, have been more than sufficient to pay claims, operating expenses and dividends to the parent company.

The table below shows a summary of operating cash flow for property casualty insurance (direct method):

(In millions)	Three m	ont	hs ended .	June 30,	Six months ended June 30,				
	2014		2013	% Change		2014		2013	% Change
Premiums collected	\$ 1,064	\$	973	9	\$	2,116	\$	1,933	9
Loss and loss expenses paid	(615)		(521)	(18)		(1,199)		(1,034)	(16)
Commissions and other underwriting expenses paid	(285)		(264)	(8)		(692)		(634)	(9)
Cash flow from underwriting	164		188	(13)		225		265	(15)
Investment income received	92		88	5		186		175	6
Cash flow from operations	\$ 256	\$	276	(7)	\$	411	\$	440	(7)

Collected premiums for property casualty insurance rose \$183 million during the first six months of 2014, compared with the same period in 2013. Loss and loss expenses paid increased \$165 million, including \$52 million for catastrophe losses and loss expenses. Commissions and other underwriting expenses paid rose \$58 million, primarily due to higher commissions paid to agencies, reflecting the increase in collected premiums.

We discuss our future obligations for claims payments and for underwriting expenses in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Contractual Obligations, Page 98, and Other Commitments on Page 99.

Capital Resources

At June 30, 2014, our debt-to-total-capital ratio was 11.7 percent, with \$790 million in long-term debt and \$49 million in borrowing on our revolving short-term line of credit. That line of credit had a \$104 million balance at December 31, 2013. During April 2014, we repaid \$55 million as part of routine cash management and had \$176 million remaining for future cash management needs at June 30, 2014. Based on our present capital requirements, we do not anticipate a material increase in debt levels during the remainder of 2014. As a result, we expect changes in our debt-to-total-capital ratio to continue to be largely a function of the contribution of unrealized investment gains or losses to shareholders' equity.

We provide details of our three long-term notes in this quarterly report Item 1, Note 3 – Fair Value Measurements. None of the notes are encumbered by rating triggers.

Four independent ratings firms award insurer financial strength ratings to our property casualty insurance companies and three firms rate our life insurance company. Those firms made no changes to our debt ratings during the first six months of 2014. Our debt ratings are discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Additional Sources of Liquidity, Page 97.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not use any special-purpose financing vehicles or have any undisclosed off-balance sheet arrangements (as that term is defined in applicable SEC rules) that are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on the company's financial condition, results of operation, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. Similarly, the company holds no fair-value contracts for which a lack of marketplace quotations would necessitate the use of fair-value techniques.

USES OF LIQUIDITY

Our parent company and insurance subsidiary have contractual obligations and other commitments. In addition, one of our primary uses of cash is to enhance shareholder return.

Contractual Obligations

In our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Contractual Obligations, Page 98, we estimated our future contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013. There have been no material changes to our estimates of future contractual obligations since our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Other Commitments

In addition to our contractual obligations, we have other property casualty operational commitments.

- Commissions Commissions paid were \$431 million in the first six months of 2014. Commission payments generally track with written premiums, except for annual profit-sharing commissions typically paid during the first quarter of the year.
- Other underwriting expenses Many of our underwriting expenses are not contractual obligations, but reflect the ongoing expenses of our business. Noncommission underwriting expenses paid were \$261 million in the first six months of 2014.
- In addition to contractual obligations for hardware and software, we anticipate capitalizing approximately \$5 million in spending for key technology initiatives in 2014. Capitalized development costs related to key technology initiatives were \$3 million in the first six months of 2014. These activities are conducted at our discretion, and we have no material contractual obligations for activities planned as part of these projects.

We contributed \$5 million to our qualified pension plan during the first six months of 2014. We do not anticipate further contributions to our qualified pension plan during the remainder of 2014.

Investing Activities

After fulfilling operating requirements, we invest cash flows from underwriting, investment and other corporate activities in fixed-maturity and equity securities on an ongoing basis to help achieve our portfolio objectives. We discuss our investment strategy and certain portfolio attributes in this quarterly report Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Uses of Capital

Uses of cash to enhance shareholder return include dividends to shareholders. In January and April 2014, the board of directors declared regular quarterly cash dividends of 44 cents per share for an indicated annual rate of \$1.76 per share. During the first six months of 2014, we used \$138 million to pay cash dividends to shareholders.

PROPERTY CASUALTY INSURANCE RESERVES

For the business lines in the commercial and personal lines insurance segments, and in total for the excess and surplus lines segment, the following table details gross reserves among case, IBNR (incurred but not reported) and loss expense reserves, net of salvage and subrogation reserves. Reserving practices are discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Property Casualty Insurance Loss and Loss Expense Obligations and Reserves, Page 99.

Total gross reserves at June 30, 2014, increased \$167 million compared with December 31, 2013. Case reserves for losses increased \$130 million while IBNR reserves increased by \$32 million and total loss expense reserves increased by \$5 million. Accounting for the majority of the total gross increase were auto lines of business or lines dominated by property insurance coverages that reflected loss experience related to weather.

Property and Casualty Gross Reserves

es	Loss	Total	
BNR	expense	gross	Percent
serves	reserves	reserves	of total
390	\$ 495	\$ 1,707	38.7%
3	37	282	6.4
56	72	405	9.2
545	90	1,045	23.7
1	23	115	2.6
11	71	200	4.5
_	2	3	0.1
1,006	790	3,757	85.2
(11)	63	237	5.4
15	26	148	3.4
32	5	85	1.9
36	94	470	10.7
68	40	181	4.1
1,110	\$ 924	\$ 4,408	100.0%
393	\$ 496	\$ 1,679	39.6%
30	37	256	6.0
40	69	373	8.8
522	95	1,038	24.5
8	25	105	2.5
3	68	210	5.0
4	2	6	0.1
1,000	792	3,667	86.5
(18)	61	221	5.2
9	24	113	2.7
32	5	83	1.9
23	90	417	9.8
55	37	157	3.7
1,078	\$ 919	\$ 4,241	100.0 %
	23 55	23 90 55 37	23 90 417 55 37 157

LIFE POLICY AND INVESTMENT CONTRACT RESERVES

Gross life policy and investment contract reserves were \$2.454 billion at June 30, 2014, compared with \$2.390 billion at year-end 2013, reflecting continued growth in life insurance policies in force. We discuss our life insurance reserving practices in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Life Insurance Policyholder Obligations and Reserves, Page 106.

OTHER MATTERS

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Page 128, and updated in this quarterly report Item 1, Note 1, Accounting Policies.

In conjunction with those discussions, in the Management's Discussion and Analysis in the 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, management reviewed the estimates and assumptions used to develop reported amounts related to the most significant policies. Management discussed the development and selection of those accounting estimates with the audit committee of the board of directors.

Item 3. **Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Our greatest exposure to market risk is through our investment portfolio. Market risk is the potential for a decrease in securities' fair value resulting from broad yet uncontrollable forces such as: inflation, economic growth or recession, interest rates, world political conditions or other widespread unpredictable events. It is comprised of many individual risks that, when combined, create a macroeconomic impact.

Our view of potential risks and our sensitivity to such risks is discussed in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7a, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, Page 112.

The fair value of our investment portfolio was \$13.988 billion at June 30, 2014, up \$492 million from year-end 2013, including an increase in the common equities portfolio of \$234 million.

At June 30, 2014							At December 31, 2013						
am	ortized	Percent to total	F	air value	Percent to total			Percent to total	Fa	air value	Percent to total		
\$	5,798	51.3%	\$	6,328	45.3%	\$	5,814	52.1%	\$	6,211	46.0%		
	2,921	25.8		3,055	21.8		2,824	25.3		2,910	21.6		
	2,458	21.8		4,447	31.8		2,396	21.5		4,213	31.2		
	124	1.1		158	1.1		127	1.1		162	1.2		
\$	11,301	100.0%	\$	13,988	100.0%	\$	11,161	100.0%	\$	13,496	100.0%		
	am	2,921 2,458	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total \$ 5,798 51.3% 2,921 25.8 2,458 21.8 124 1.1	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total F \$ 5,798 \$ 51.3% \$ 2,921 25.8 2,458 21.8 124 1.1 1.1	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Fair value \$ 5,798 51.3% \$ 6,328 2,921 25.8 3,055 2,458 21.8 4,447 124 1.1 158	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Fair value Percent to total \$ 5,798 51.3% \$ 6,328 45.3% 2,921 25.8 3,055 21.8 2,458 21.8 4,447 31.8 124 1.1 158 1.1	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Fair value Percent to total Percent to amortized and total \$ 5,798 \$ 51.3% \$ 6,328 45.3% \$ 2,921 25.8 3,055 21.8 2,458 21.8 4,447 31.8 124 1.1 158 1.1 1.2	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Fair value Percent to total Cost or amortized cost \$ 5,798 \$ 51.3% \$ 6,328 45.3% \$ 5,814 2,921 25.8 3,055 21.8 2,824 2,458 21.8 4,447 31.8 2,396 124 1.1 158 1.1 127	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Fair value Percent to total Cost or amortized cost Percent to total \$ 5,798 \$ 51.3% \$ 6,328 45.3% \$ 5,814 52.1% 2,921 25.8 3,055 21.8 2,824 25.3 2,458 21.8 4,447 31.8 2,396 21.5 124 1.1 158 1.1 127 1.1	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Percent to total Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Fair value \$ 5,798 \$ 51.3% \$ 6,328 45.3% \$ 5,814 52.1% \$ 2,921 25.8 3,055 21.8 2,824 25.3 2,458 21.8 4,447 31.8 2,396 21.5 124 1.1 158 1.1 127 1.1 1	Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Percent to total Cost or amortized cost Percent to total Percent to total Percent to total Fair value \$ 5,798 51.3% \$ 6,328 45.3% \$ 5,814 52.1% \$ 6,211 2,921 25.8 3,055 21.8 2,824 25.3 2,910 2,458 21.8 4,447 31.8 2,396 21.5 4,213 124 1.1 158 1.1 127 1.1 162		

At June 30, 2014, our consolidated investment portfolio included \$11 million of assets for which values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require significant management judgment (Level 3 assets). This represented less than 1 percent of investment portfolio assets measured at fair value. See Item 1, Note 3, Fair Value Measurements, for additional discussion of our valuation techniques. We have generally obtained and evaluated two nonbinding quotes from brokers; then our investment professionals determined our best estimate of fair value. These investments include private placements, small issues and various thinly traded securities.

In addition to our investment portfolio, the total investments amount reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets includes Other invested assets. Other invested assets included \$34 million of life policy loans plus \$38 million of private equity investments at June 30, 2014.

FIXED-MATURITY INVESTMENTS

By maintaining a well-diversified fixed-maturity portfolio, we attempt to reduce overall risk. We invest new money in the bond market on a regular basis, targeting what we believe to be optimal risk-adjusted after-tax yields. Risk, in this context, includes interest rate, call, reinvestment rate, credit and liquidity risk. We do not make a concerted effort to alter duration on a portfolio basis in response to anticipated movements in interest rates. By regularly investing in the bond market, we build a broad, diversified portfolio that we believe mitigates the impact of adverse economic factors.

Our investment portfolio had no European sovereign debt holdings at June 30, 2014. On that date, we owned other European-based securities, primarily corporate bonds, totaling \$443 million in fair value. The composition of our European-based holdings at June 30, 2014, did not materially change from the \$455 million fair value total at year-end 2013. We discussed our European-based holdings in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7a, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, Page 114.

In the first six months of 2014, the increase in fair value of our fixed-maturity portfolio was due to a decline in interest rates as well as a spread tightening in both the corporate and municipal bond markets. At June 30, 2014, our fixed-maturity portfolio with an average rating of A2/A was valued at 107.6 percent of its amortized cost, compared with 105.6 percent at December 31, 2013.

Credit ratings at June 30, 2014, compared with December 31, 2013, for the fixed-maturity portfolio were:

(In millions)		At June	30, 2014	At I	Decemb	er 31, 2013	
		Percent	F	air	Percent		
		value	to total	va	lue	to total	
Moody's Ratings and Standard & Poor's Ratings combined:							
Aaa, Aa, A, AAA, AA, A	\$	5,677	60.5%	\$	5,468	59.9%	
Baa, BBB		3,220	34.3		3,197	35.1	
Ba, BB		252	2.7		231	2.5	
В, В		16	0.2		16	0.2	
Caa, CCC, Ca		3	0.0		4	0.0	
Nonrated		215	2.3		205	2.3	
Total	\$	9,383	100.0%	\$	9,121	100.0%	

Attributes of the fixed-maturity portfolio include:

Weighted average yield-to-amortized cost		
Weighted average yield to amortized cost	4.8 %	4.9 %
Weighted average maturity	6.3 yrs	6.2 yrs
Effective duration	4.4 yrs	4.5 yrs

We discuss maturities of our fixed-maturity portfolio in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 2, Investments, Page 135, and in this quarterly report Item 2, Investments Results of Operations.

TAXABLE FIXED MATURITIES

Our taxable fixed-maturity portfolio, with a fair value of \$6.328 billion at June 30, 2014, included:

(In millions)	At Ju	ne 30, 2014	At December 3	31, 2013
Investment-grade corporate	\$	5,290	\$	5,293
States, municipalities and political subdivisions		315		301
Below investment-grade corporate		265		240
Commercial mortgage backed		226		143
Government sponsored enterprises		208		200
Foreign government		10		10
Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached		7		17
United States government		7		7
Total	\$	6,328	\$	6,211

Our strategy is to buy and typically hold fixed-maturity investments to maturity, but we monitor credit profiles and fair value movements when determining holding periods for individual securities. With the exception of United States agency issues that include United States government and government-sponsored enterprises, no individual issuer's securities accounted for more than 1.0 percent of the taxable fixed-maturity portfolio at June 30, 2014. Our investment-grade corporate bonds had an average rating of Baa1 by Moody's or BBB+ by Standard & Poor's and represented 83.6 percent of the taxable fixed-maturity portfolio's fair value at June 30, 2014, compared with 85.2 percent at year-end 2013.

The heaviest concentration in our investment-grade corporate bond portfolio, based on fair value at June 30, 2014, is the financial-related sectors – including banking, financial services and insurance – representing 32.1 percent, compared with 32.8 percent at year-end 2013. We believe our weighting in financial-related sectors is below the average for the corporate bond market as a whole.

Most of the \$315 million of securities issued by states, municipalities and political subdivisions included in our taxable fixed-maturity portfolio at June 30, 2014, were Build America Bonds.

Our taxable fixed-maturity portfolio at June 30, 2014, included \$226 million of commercial mortgage-backed securities with an average rating of Aa1/AA.

TAX-EXEMPT FIXED MATURITIES

At June 30, 2014, we had \$3.055 billion of tax-exempt fixed-maturity securities with an average rating of Aa2/AA by Moody's and Standard & Poor's. We traditionally have purchased municipal bonds focusing on general obligation and essential services issues, such as water, waste disposal or others. The portfolio is well diversified among approximately 1,000 municipal bond issuers. No single municipal issuer accounted for more than 0.8 percent of the tax-exempt fixed-maturity portfolio at June 30, 2014. The following table shows our municipal bond holdings in our larger states:

(In millions)	Local iss	ued general	Specie	al revenue	State issued gene	ra1			Percent of
At June 30, 2014		ion bonds	•	onds	obligation bond		T	otal	total
Texas	\$	371	\$	74	\$	_	\$	445	14.6%
Indiana		1		234		_		235	7.7
Michigan		208		10				218	7.1
Ohio		130		79		9		218	7.1
Illinois		174		18				192	6.3
Washington		151		31		7		189	6.2
Wisconsin		95		28		2		125	4.1
Pennsylvania		96		10		10		116	3.8
Arizona		73		34		_		107	3.5
Florida		26		72		_		98	3.2
New York		54		36		4		94	3.1
Colorado		48		25		_		73	2.4
Kansas		45		20		_		65	2.1
New Jersey		45		20		_		65	2.1
Kentucky		4		52		—		56	1.8
All other states		438		275		46		759	24.9
Total	\$	1,959	\$	1,018	\$	78	\$ 3	3,055	100.0%
At December 31, 2013									
Texas	\$	385	\$	66	\$	_	\$	451	15.5 %
Michigan		238		9		—		247	8.5
Indiana		8		232		—		240	8.2
Ohio		119		87		6		212	7.3
Illinois		184		19		—		203	7.0
Washington		150		32		5		187	6.4
Wisconsin		108		32		2		142	4.9
Pennsylvania		93		9		9		111	3.8
Arizona		55		31		—		86	3.0
Florida		24		62		_		86	3.0
New York		48		31		4		83	2.9
Colorado		45		17		_		62	2.1
New Jersey		44		17		—		61	2.1
Minnesota		42		7		2		51	1.8
Utah		31		19		—		50	1.7
All other states		338		270		30		638	21.8
Total	\$	1,912	\$	940	\$	58	\$ 2	2,910	100.0 %

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

Because of our strong surplus, long-term investment horizon and ability to hold most fixed-maturity investments until maturity, we believe the company is adequately positioned if interest rates were to rise. Although the fair values of our existing holdings may suffer, a higher rate environment would provide the opportunity to invest cash flow in higher-yielding securities, while reducing the likelihood of untimely redemptions of currently callable securities. While higher interest rates would be expected to continue to increase the number of fixed-maturity holdings trading below 100 percent of amortized cost, we believe lower fixed-maturity security values due solely to interest rate changes would not signal a decline in credit quality. We continue to manage the portfolio with an eye toward both meeting current income needs and managing interest rate risk.

Our dynamic financial planning model uses analytical tools to assess market risks. As part of this model, the effective duration of the fixed-maturity portfolio is continually monitored by our investment department to evaluate the theoretical impact of interest rate movements.

The table below summarizes the effect of hypothetical changes in interest rates on the fair value of the fixed-maturity portfolio:

(In millions)	Interest rate shift in basis points								
		-200		-100		_		100	200
At June 30, 2014	\$	10,232	\$	9,804	\$	9,383	\$	8,962	\$ 8,554
At December 31, 2013	\$	9,968	\$	9,545	\$	9,121	\$	8,708	\$ 8,316

The effective duration of the fixed-maturity portfolio as of June 30, 2014, was 4.4 years, compared with 4.5 years at year-end 2013. The above table is a theoretical presentation showing that an instantaneous, parallel shift in the yield curve of 100 basis points could produce an approximately 4.5 percent change in the fair value of the fixed-maturity portfolio. Generally speaking, the higher a bond is rated, the more directly correlated movements in its fair value are to changes in the general level of interest rates, exclusive of call features. The fair values of average- to lower-rated corporate bonds are additionally influenced by the expansion or contraction of credit spreads.

In our dynamic financial planning model, the selected interest rate change of 100 to 200 basis points represents our view of a shift in rates that is quite possible over a one-year period. The rates modeled should not be considered a prediction of future events as interest rates may be much more volatile in the future. The analysis is not intended to provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in rates on our results or financial condition, nor does it take into account any actions that we might take to reduce exposure to such risks.

EQUITY INVESTMENTS

Our equity investments, with a fair value totaling \$4.605 billion at June 30, 2014, include \$4.447 billion of common stock securities of companies generally with strong indications of paying and growing their dividends. Other criteria we evaluate include increasing sales and earnings, proven management and a favorable outlook. We believe our equity investment style is an appropriate long-term strategy. While our long-term financial position would be affected by prolonged changes in the market valuation of our investments, we believe our strong surplus position and cash flow provide a cushion against short-term fluctuations in valuation. Continued payment of cash dividends by the issuers of our common equity holdings can provide a floor to their valuation. A \$100 million unrealized change in the value of the common stocks owned at period end would cause a change of \$65 million, or approximately 40 cents per share, in our shareholders' equity.

At June 30, 2014, BlackRock Inc. (NYSE:BLK) was our largest single common stock holding with a fair value of 3.1 percent of our publicly-traded common stock portfolio and 1.0 percent of the total investment portfolio. We had 14 holdings among eight different sectors each with a fair value greater than \$100 million.

	Percent of Publicly Traded Common Stock Portfolio						
	At Ju	ne 30, 2014	At Dece	mber 31, 2013			
	Cincinnati Financial	S&P 500 Industry Weightings	Cincinnati Financial	S&P 500 Industry Weightings			
Sector:							
Information technology	19.1%	19.0%	18.7%	18.6%			
Industrials	14.2	10.5	14.0	10.9			
Healthcare	11.5	13.4	11.5	13.0			
Financial	11.4	16.0	12.0	16.2			
Energy	11.0	10.7	10.5	10.3			
Consumer staples	10.3	9.6	10.5	9.8			
Consumer discretionary	9.6	11.9	9.8	12.5			
Materials	5.6	3.5	5.7	3.5			
Utilities	4.4	3.0	4.2	2.9			
Telecomm services	2.9	2.4	3.1	2.3			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

UNREALIZED INVESTMENT GAINS AND LOSSES

At June 30, 2014, unrealized investment gains before taxes for the consolidated investment portfolio totaled \$2.713 billion and unrealized investment losses amounted to \$26 million.

The unrealized investment gains at June 30, 2014, were due to a pretax net gain position in our fixed-maturity portfolio of \$664 million and a net gain position in our equity portfolio of \$2.023 billion. The net gain position in our fixed-maturity portfolio had grown in recent years prior to 2013 and in 2014 due largely to a declining interest rate environment. During 2013, that portfolio's net gain position decreased \$388 million largely due to lower valuations for fixed-maturity securities from rising interest rates. The net gain position for our current fixed-maturity holdings will naturally decline over time as individual securities mature. In addition, changes in interest rates can cause rapid, significant changes in fair values of fixed-maturity securities and the net gain position, as discussed in Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk. The seven largest contributors to our common stock portfolio net gain position were Exxon Mobil Corporation (NYSE:XOM), Chevron Corporation (NYSE:CVX), Dover Corporation (NYSE:DOV), The Procter & Gamble Company (NYSE:PG), Honeywell International Inc. (NYSE:HON), Johnson & Johnson (NYSE:JNJ) and RPM International (NYSE:RPM), which had a combined net gain position of \$599 million.

Unrealized Investment Losses

We expect the number of securities trading below amortized cost to fluctuate as interest rates rise or fall and credit spreads expand or contract due to prevailing economic conditions. Further, amortized costs for some securities are revised through OTTI recognized in prior periods. At June 30, 2014, 309 of the 2,953 securities we owned had fair values below amortized cost, compared with 556 of the 2,879 securities we owned at year-end 2013. The 309 holdings with fair values below cost or amortized cost at June 30, 2014, represented 6.2 percent of fair value of our investment portfolio and \$26 million in unrealized losses.

- 308 of the 309 holdings had fair value between 90 percent and 100 percent of amortized cost at June 30, 2014. Six of these 308 holdings are equity securities that may be subject to OTTI charges taken through earnings should they not recover by the recovery dates we determined. The fair value of these six equity securities was \$140 million, and they accounted for \$4 million in unrealized losses. The remaining 302 securities primarily consist of fixed-maturity securities whose current valuation is largely the result of interest rate factors. The fair value of these 302 securities was \$721 million, and they accounted for \$21 million in unrealized losses.
- One of the 309 holdings had fair values between 70 percent and 90 percent of amortized cost at June 30, 2014.
 It is a fixed-maturity security that we believe will continue to pay interest and ultimately pay principal upon maturity. The issuer of this securities has strong cash flow to service its debt and meet its contractual obligation to make principal payments. The fair value of this security was \$11 million, and it accounted for \$1 million in unrealized losses.

No securities were trading below 70 percent of amortized cost at June 30, 2014.

The table below reviews fair values and unrealized losses by investment category and by the overall duration of the securities' continuous unrealized loss position.

(In millions)	Le	ess thai	n 12 ı	nonths	1	12 months or more			Total		Total	
]	Fair	Unrealized Fa		Fair	Uni	realized	fair		unrealized		
At June 30, 2014	v	alue	10	osses	V	alue	1	osses	value	е	los	sses
Fixed maturity securities:												
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	61	\$	1	\$	267	\$	4	\$ 32	28	\$	5
United States government		_		_		1		_		1		_
Government-sponsored enterprises		10		_		175		13	18	85		13
Foreign government		_		_		10		_	1	10		_
Commercial mortgage-backed		_		_		32		1	3	32		1
Corporate		49		_		127		3	1′	76		3
Subtotal		120		1		612		21	7.	32		22
Equity securities:												
Common equities		39		_		79		3	11	18		3
Nonredeemable preferred equities		5		_		17		1	2	22		1
Subtotal		44		_		96		4	14	40		4
Total	\$	164	\$	1	\$	708	\$	25	\$ 8'	72	\$	26
At December 31, 2013										_		
Fixed maturity securities:												
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	490	\$	18	\$	42	\$	3	\$ 53	32	\$	21
United States government		1		_		_		_		1		_
Government-sponsored enterprises		199		27		1		_	20	00		27
Foreign government		10		_		_		_		10		_
Commercial mortgage-backed		125		5		_		_	12	25		5
Corporate		572		20		43		2	6.	15		22
Subtotal		1,397		70		86		5	1,48	33		75
Equity securities:												
Common equities		77		1		_		_	,	77		1
Nonredeemable preferred equities		42		3		_		_	4	42		3
Subtotal		119		4					1	19		4
Total	\$	1,516	\$	74	\$	86	\$	5	\$ 1,60)2	\$	79

At June 30, 2014, 247 fixed-maturity securities with a total unrealized loss of \$21 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, no fixed-maturity securities had fair values below 70 percent of amortized cost; One fixed-maturity security with a fair value of \$11 million had a fair value from 70 percent to less than 90 percent of amortized cost and accounted for \$1 million in unrealized losses; and 246 fixed-maturity securities with a fair value of \$601 million had fair values from 90 percent to less than 100 percent of amortized cost and accounted for \$20 million in unrealized losses.

At June 30, 2014, four equity securities with a total unrealized loss of \$4 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. These four equity securities with a fair value of \$96 million had fair values from 90 percent to less than 100% of amortized cost.

At June 30, 2014, applying our invested asset impairment policy, we determined that the \$25 million in total unrealized losses in the table above were not other-than-temporarily impaired.

During the second quarter of 2014, one security was written down through impairment charges, for a total of four during the six months ended June 30, 2014. OTTI resulted in a pretax, noncash charge of less than \$1 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. During the first six months of 2013, we wrote down five securities resulting in \$2 million in OTTI charges.

During full-year 2013, we wrote down seven securities and recorded \$2 million in OTTI charges. At December 31, 2013, 40 fixed-maturity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$5 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, no fixed-maturity investments had fair values below 70 percent of amortized cost. No equity investments had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2013.

The following table summarizes the investment portfolio by severity of decline:

(In millions)	Number of	Cost or amortized	Fair	Gross unrealized	Gross investment
At June 30, 2014	issues	cost	value	gain/loss	income
Taxable fixed maturities:	1				
Fair valued below 70% of amortized cost	_	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Fair valued at 70% to less than 100% of amortized cost	86	423	407	(16)	7
Fair valued at 100% and above of amortized cost	1,310	5,375	5,921	546	142
Securities sold in current year	_	_	_	_	4
Total	1,396	5,798	6,328	530	153
Tax-exempt fixed maturities:					
Fair valued below 70% of amortized cost	_	_	_	_	_
Fair valued at 70% to less than 100% of amortized cost	217	331	325	(6)	3
Fair valued at 100% and above of amortized cost	1,240	2,590	2,730	140	49
Securities sold in current year					2
Total	1,457	2,921	3,055	134	54
Common equities:					
Fair valued below 70% of cost	_	_	_	_	_
Fair valued at 70% to less than 100% of cost	2	121	118	(3)	2
Fair valued at 100% and above of cost	72	2,337	4,329	1,992	59
Securities sold in current year					
Total	74	2,458	4,447	1,989	61
Nonredeemable preferred equities:					
Fair valued below 70% of cost	_	_	_	_	_
Fair valued at 70% to less than 100% of cost	4	23	22	(1)	1
Fair valued at 100% and above of cost	22	101	136	35	4
Securities sold in current year					
Total	26	124	158	34	5
Portfolio summary:					
Fair valued below 70% of cost or amortized cost	_	_	_	_	_
Fair valued at 70% to less than 100% of cost or amortized cost	309	898	872	(26)	13
Fair valued at 100% and above of cost or amortized cost	2,644	10,403	13,116	2,713	254
Investment income on securities sold in current year					6
Total	2,953	\$ 11,301	\$13,988	\$ 2,687	\$ 273
At December 31, 2013					
Portfolio summary:					
Fair valued below 70% of cost or amortized cost	_	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Fair valued at 70% to less than 100% of cost or amortized cost	556	1,681	1,602	(79)	41
Fair valued at 100% and above of cost or amortized cost	2,323	9,480	11,894	2,414	471
Investment income on securities sold in current year					23
Total	2,879	\$ 11,161	\$13,496	\$ 2,335	\$ 535
		,	,.,,		

See our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Critical Accounting Estimates, Asset Impairment, Page 53.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures – The company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)).

Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. The company's management, with the participation of the company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2014. Based upon that evaluation, the company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures provided reasonable assurance that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure:

- that information required to be disclosed in the company's reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and
- that such information is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its
 chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding
 required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting – During the three months ended June 30, 2014, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II – Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Neither the company nor any of our subsidiaries is involved in any litigation believed to be material other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to the nature of our business.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our risk factors have not changed materially since they were described in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 27, 2014.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

We did not sell any of our shares that were not registered under the Securities Act during the first six months of 2014. The board of directors has authorized share repurchases since 1996. Purchases are expected to be made generally through open market transactions. During the second quarter of 2014, we acquired 83,308 shares for \$4 million from associates as consideration for options exercised. During the first six months of 2014, we acquired 162,461 shares for \$8 million from associates as consideration for options exercised. The board gives management discretion to purchase shares at reasonable prices in light of circumstances at the time of purchase, subject to SEC regulations. On October 24, 2007, the board of directors expanded the existing repurchase authorization to approximately 13 million shares. We have 5,399,493 shares available for purchase under our programs at June 30, 2014.

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
April 1-30, 2014		_	_	5,399,493
May 1-31, 2014	_	_	_	5,399,493
June 1-30, 2014	_	_	_	5,399,493
Totals		_		

Item 6.	Exhibits
Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Cincinnati Financial Corporation (incorporated by reference to the company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 25, 2011, Exhibit 3.1)
3.2	Regulations of Cincinnati Financial Corporation, as amended through May 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, Exhibit 3.2)
10.1	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among Cincinnati Financial Corporation, CFC Investment Company, PNC Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent, PNC Capital Markets, LLC, as Sole Bookrunner and Joint Lead Arranger, Fifth Third Bank, N.A., as Joint Lead Arranger and Syndication Agent, The Huntington National Bank and U.S. Bank, N.A., as Documentary Agents, dated May 13, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 13, 2014)
31A	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 – Chief Executive Officer
31B	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 - Chief Financial Officer
32	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: July 29, 2014

/S/ Eric N. Mathews

Eric N. Mathews, CPCU, AIAF

Vice President, Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer (Principal Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT 31A

CERTIFICATION PURUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Steven J. Johnston, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Cincinnati Financial Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this
 report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of
 the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 29, 2014

/S/ Steven J. Johnston

Steven J. Johnston, FCAS, MAAA, CFA, CERA

President and Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31B

CERTIFICATION PURUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Michael J. Sewell, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Cincinnati Financial Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this
 report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of
 the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 29, 2014

/S/ Michael J. Sewell

Michael J. Sewell, CPA

Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President and Treasurer

EXHIBIT 32

CERTIFICATION PURUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The certification set forth below is being submitted in connection with this report on Form 10-Q for the purpose of complying with Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) of the Exchange Act and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Steven J. Johnston, the chief executive officer, and Michael J. Sewell, the chief financial officer, of Cincinnati Financial Corporation each certifies that, to the best of his knowledge:

- 1. the report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act; and
- 2. the information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Cincinnati Financial Corporation.

Date: July 29, 2014

/S/ Steven J. Johnston

Steven J. Johnston, FCAS, MAAA, CFA, CERA

President and Chief Executive Officer

/S/ Michael J. Sewell

Michael J. Sewell, CPA

Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President and Treasurer